Computing downward closures for stacked counter automata

Georg Zetzsche

AG Concurrency Theory
Fachbereich Informatik
TU Kaiserslautern
zetzsche@cs.uni-kl.de

arXiv:1409.7922v1 [cs.FL] 28 Sep 2014

Abstract

The downward closure of a language L of words is the set of all (not necessarily contiguous) subwords of members of L. It is well known that the downward closure of any language is regular. Although the downward closure seems to be a promising abstraction, there are only few language classes for which an automaton for the downward closure is known to be computable.

It is shown here that for stacked counter automata, the downward closure is computable. Stacked counter automata are finite automata with a storage mechanism obtained by *adding blind counters* and *building stacks*. Hence, they generalize pushdown and blind counter automata.

The class of languages accepted by these automata are precisely those in the hierarchy obtained from the context-free languages by alternating two closure operators: imposing semilinear constraints and taking the algebraic extension. The main tool for computing downward closures is the new concept of Parikh annotations. As a second application of Parikh annotations, it is shown that the hierarchy above is strict at every level.

1 Introduction

In the analysis of systems whose behavior is given by formal languages, it is a fruitful idea to consider abstractions: simpler objects that preserve relevant properties of the language and are amenable to algorithmic examination. A very well-known such type of abstraction is the *Parikh image*, which counts the number of occurrences of each letter. For a variety of language classes, the Parikh image of every language is known to be effectively semilinear, which facilitates a range of analysis techniques for formal languages (see [9] for applications).

A promising alternative to Parikh images is the *downward closure* $L{\downarrow}$, which consists of all (not necessarily contiguous) subwords of members of L. Whereas for many interesting classes of languages the Parikh image is not semilinear in general, the downward closure is regular *for any language*, suggesting wide applicability. Moreover, the downward closure encodes properties not visible in the Parikh image: Suppose L describes the behavior of a system that is observed through a lossy channel, meaning that on the way to the observer, arbitrary actions can get lost. Then, $L{\downarrow}$ is the set of words received by the observer [7]. Hence, given the downward closure as a finite automaton, we can decide whether two systems are equivalent under such observations, and even whether the behavior of one system includes the other. Hence, even if Parikh images are effectively semilinear for a class of languages, computing the downward closure is still an important task. See [3, 12] for further applications.

However, while there always *exists* a finite automaton for the downward closure, it seems difficult to *compute* them and there are few language classes for which computability has been established. The downward closure is computable for context-free languages and algebraic extensions [10, 5], backward reachability sets of lossy channel systems [2], 0L-systems and context-free FIFO rewriting systems [1], and Petri net languages [7]. It is not computable for reachability sets of lossy channel systems [13] and for Church-Rosser languages [6].

© Georg Zetzsche;
licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY
Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics
LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

It is shown here that downward closures are computable for *stacked counter automata*. These are automata with a finite state control and a storage mechanism obtained by two constructions (of storage mechanisms): One can *build stacks* and *add blind counters*. The former is to construct a new mechanism that stores a stack whose entries are configurations of an old mechanism. One can then manipulate the topmost entry, pop it if empty, or start a new one on top. Adding a blind counter to an old mechanism yields a new mechanism in which the old one and a blind counter (i.e., a counter that can attain negative values and has to be zero in the end of a run) can be used simultaneously.

Stacked counter automata are interesting because among a large class of automata with storage, they are *expressively complete* for those storage mechanisms that guarantee semilinear Parikh images. This is due to the fact that they accept precisely those languages in the hierarchy obtained from the context-free languages by alternating two closure operators: imposing semilinear constraints (with respect to the Parikh image) and taking the algebraic extension. These two closure operators correspond to the constructions of storage mechanisms in stacked counter automata (see Section 3).

The main tool to show the computability of downward closures is the concept of *Parikh annotations*. As another application of this concept, it is shown that the aforementioned hierarchy is strict at every level.

The paper is structured as follows. After Section 2 defines basic concepts and notation, Section 3 introduces the hierarchy of language classes. Section 4 presents Parikh annotations, the main ingredient for the computation of downward closures. The main result is then presented in Section 5, where it is shown that downward closures are computable for stacked counter automata. As a second application of Parikh annotations, it is then shown in Section 6 that the hierarchy defined in Section 3 is strict at every level. Unfortunately, due to space restrictions, most proofs had to be moved to the appendix.

2 Preliminaries

A *monoid* is a set M together with a binary associative operation such that M contains a neutral element. Unless the monoid at hand warrants a different notation, we will denote the neutral element by 1 and the product of $x, y \in M$ by xy. The trivial monoid that contains only the neutral element is denoted by $\mathbf{1}$.

If X is an alphabet, X^* denoted the set of words over X. The empty word is denoted by $\varepsilon \in X^*$. For a symbol $x \in X$ and a word $w \in X^*$, let $|w|_x$ be the number of occurrences of x in w and $|w| = \sum_{x \in X} |w|_x$. For an alphabet X and languages $L, K \subseteq X^*$, the *shuffle product* $L \sqcup\!\sqcup K$ is the set of all words $u_0 v_1 u_1 \cdots v_n u_n$ where $u_0, \ldots, u_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n \in X^*$, $u_0 \cdots u_n \in L$, and $v_1 \cdots v_n \in K$. For a subset $Y \subseteq X$, we define the *projection morphism* $\pi_Y \colon X^* \to Y^*$ by $\pi_Y(y) = y$ for $y \in Y$ and $\pi_Y(x) = \varepsilon$ for $x \in X \setminus Y$. By $\mathcal{P}(S)$, we denote the power set of the set S. A *substitution* is a map $\sigma \colon X \to \mathcal{P}(Y^*)$ and given $L \subseteq X^*$, we write $\sigma(L)$ for the set of all words $v_1 \cdots v_n$, where $v_i \in \sigma(x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, for $x_1 \cdots x_n \in L$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. If $\sigma(x) \subseteq Y$ for each $x \in X$, we call σ a *letter substitution*.

For words $u, v \in X^*$, we write $u \preceq v$ if $u = u_1 \cdots u_n$ and $v = v_0 u_1 v_1 \cdots u_n v_n$ for some $u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_0, \ldots, v_n \in X^*$. It is well-known that $\preceq$ is a well-quasi-order on X^* and that therefore the *downward closure* $L{\downarrow} = \{u \in X^* \mid \exists v \in L \colon u \preceq v\}$ is regular for any $L \subseteq X^*$ [8].

If X is an alphabet, $X^{\oplus}$ denotes the set of maps $\alpha \colon X \to \mathbb{N}$. The elements of $X^{\oplus}$ are called *multisets*. Let $\alpha + \beta \in X^{\oplus}$ be defined by $(\alpha + \beta)(x) = \alpha(x) + \beta(x)$. With this operation, $X^{\oplus}$ is a monoid. We consider each $x \in X$ to be an element of $X^{\oplus}$. For a subset $S \subseteq X^{\oplus}$, we write $S^{\oplus}$ for the smallest submonoid of $X^{\oplus}$ containting S. For $\alpha \in X^{\oplus}$ and

$k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $(k \cdot \alpha)(x) = k \cdot \alpha(x)$, meaning $k \cdot \alpha \in X^{\oplus}$. A subset of the form $\mu + F^{\oplus}$ for $\mu \in X^{\oplus}$ and a finite $F \subseteq X^{\oplus}$ is called *linear*. A finite union of linear sets is called *semilinear*. The *Parikh map* is the map $\Psi\colon X^* \to X^{\oplus}$ defined by $\Psi(w)(x) = |w|_x$ for all $w \in X^*$ and $x \in X$. Given a morphism $\varphi\colon X^{\oplus} \to Y^{\oplus}$ and a word $w \in X^*$, we use $\varphi(w)$ as a shorthand for $\varphi(\Psi(w))$. We lift Ψ to sets in the usual way: $\Psi(L) = \{\Psi(w) \mid w \in L\}$. If $\Psi(L)$ is semilinear, we will also call L itself semilinear.

Let M be a monoid. An *automaton over* M is a tuple $A = (Q, M, E, q_0, F)$, in which (i) Q is a finite set of *states*, (ii) E is a finite subset of $Q \times M \times Q$ called the set of *edges*, (iii) $q_0 \in Q$ is the *initial state*, and (iv) $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of *final states*. We write $(q, m) \to_A (q', m')$ if there is an edge $(q, r, q') \in E$ such that $m' = mr$. The set *generated* by A is then $S(A) = \{m \in M \mid (q_0, 1) \to_A^* (f, m) \text{ for some } f \in F\}$.

A *finite state transducer* is an automaton over $Y^* \times X^*$ for alphabets X, Y. Relations of the form $S(A)$ for finite state transducers A are called *rational transductions*. For a language $L \subseteq X^*$ and a rational transduction $T \subseteq Y^* \times X^*$, we write $TL = \{u \in Y^* \mid \exists v \in L\colon (u, v) \in T\}$. If TF is finite for every finite language F, T is said to be *locally finite*. A class $\mathcal{C}$ of languages is called a *full trio* if it is closed under rational transductions, i.e. if $TL \in \mathcal{C}$ for every $L \in \mathcal{C}$ and every rational transduction T. It is called a *full semi-trio* if it is closed under locally finite rational transductions. A full *semi-AFL* is a union closed full trio.

Stacked counter automata In order to define stacked counter automata, we use the concept of valence automata, which combine a finite state control with a storage mechanism defined by a monoid M. A *valence automaton over* M is an automaton A over $X^* \times M$ for an alphabet X. The *language accepted by* A is then $\mathsf{L}(A) = \{w \in X^* \mid (w, 1) \in S(A)\}$. The class of languages accepted by valence automata over M is denoted $\mathsf{VA}(M)$. By choosing suitable monoids M, one can obtain various kinds of automata with storage as valence automata. For example, blind counters, partially blind counters, pushdown storages, and combinations thereof can all be realized by appropriate monoids [14].

If one storage mechanism is realized by a monoid M, then the mechanism that *builds stacks* is realized by the monoid $\mathbb{B} * M$. Here, $\mathbb{B}$ denotes the bicyclic monoid, presented by $\langle a, \bar{a} \mid a\bar{a} = 1\rangle$, and $*$ denotes the free product of monoids. For readers not familiar with these concepts, it will suffice to know that a configuration of the storage mechanism described by $\mathbb{B} * M$ consists of a sequence $c_0 a c_1 \cdots a c_n$, where $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ are configurations of the mechanism realized by M. We interpret this as a stack with the entries $c_0, \ldots, c_n$. One can open a new stack entry on top (by multiplying $a \in \mathbb{B}$), remove the topmost entry if empty (by multiplying $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{B}$) and operate on the topmost entry using the old mechanism (by multiplying elements from M). For example, the monoid $\mathbb{B}$ describes a partially blind counter (i.e. a counter that cannot go below zero and is only tested for zero in the end) and $\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B}$ describes a pushdown with two stack symbols. Given a storage mechanism realized by a monoid M, we can *add a blind counter* by using the monoid $M \times \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the group of integers. We define SC to be the smallest class of monoids with $\mathbf{1} \in \mathsf{SC}$ such that whenever $M \in \mathsf{SC}$, we also have $M \times \mathbb{Z} \in \mathsf{SC}$ and $\mathbb{B} * M \in \mathsf{SC}$. A *stacked counter automaton* is a valence automaton over M for some $M \in \mathsf{SC}$. For more details, see [14]. In Section 3, we will turn to a different description of the languages accepted by stacked counter automata.

3 A hierarchy of language classes

This section introduces a hierarchy of language classes that divides the class of languages accepted by stacked counter automata into levels. This will allow us to apply recursion with

respect to these levels. The hierarchy is defined by alternating two operators on language classes, algebraic extensions and semilinear intersections.

Algebraic extensions Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of languages. A *$\mathcal{C}$-grammar* is a quadruple $G = (N, T, P, S)$ where N and T are disjoint alphabets and $S \in N$. The symbols in N and T are called the *nonterminals* and the *terminals*, respectively. P is a finite set of pairs (A, M) with $A \in N$ and $M \subseteq (N \cup T)^*$, $M \in \mathcal{C}$. A pair $(A, M) \in P$ is called a *production of* G and also denoted by $A \to M$. The set M is the *right-hand side* of the production $A \to M$.

We write $x \Rightarrow_G y$ if $x = uAv$ and $y = uwv$ for some $u, v, w \in (N \cup T)^*$ and $(A, M) \in P$ with $w \in M$. A word w with $S \Rightarrow_G^* w$ is called a *sentential form* of G and we write $\mathsf{SF}(G)$ for the set of sentential forms of G. The *language generated by* G is $\mathsf{L}(G) = \mathsf{SF}(G) \cap T^*$. Languages generated by $\mathcal{C}$-grammars are called *algebraic over* $\mathcal{C}$. The class of all languages that are algebraic over $\mathcal{C}$ is called the *algebraic extension* of $\mathcal{C}$ and denoted $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$. We say a language class $\mathcal{C}$ is *algebraically closed* if $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is the class of finite languages, $\mathcal{C}$-grammars are also called *context-free grammars*.

We will use the operator $\mathsf{Alg}(\cdot)$ to describe the effect of *building stacks* on the accepted languages of valence automata. In [14], it was shown that $\mathsf{VA}(M_0 * M_1) \subseteq \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(M_0) \cup \mathsf{VA}(M_1))$. Here, we complement this by showing that if one of the factors is $\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B}$, the inclusion becomes an equality. Observe that since $\mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B})$ is the class of languages accepted by pushdown automata and $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{REG}) = \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(\mathbf{1}))$ is clearly the class of languages generated by context-free grammars, the first statement of the following theorem generalizes the equivalence between pushdown automata and context-free grammars.

▶ **Theorem 1.** *For every monoid M, $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(M)) = \mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B} * M)$.*

Semilinear intersections The second operator on language classes lets us describe the languages in $\mathsf{VA}(M \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ in terms of those in $\mathsf{VA}(M)$. Consider a language class $\mathcal{C}$. By $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$, we denote the class of languages of the form $h(L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S))$, where $L \subseteq X^*$ is in $\mathcal{C}$, the set $S \subseteq X^{\oplus}$ is semilinear, and $h\colon X^* \to Y^*$ is a morphism. We call a language class $\mathcal{C}$ *Presburger closed* if $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. The following proof requires only standard techniques.

▶ **Proposition 2.** *Let M be a monoid. Then $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathsf{VA}(M)) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathsf{VA}(M \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$.*

The hierarchy is now obtained by alternating the operators $\mathsf{Alg}(\cdot)$ and $\mathsf{SLI}(\cdot)$. Let F_0 be the class of finite languages and let

$$\mathsf{G}_i = \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i), \quad \mathsf{F}_{i+1} = \mathsf{SLI}(\mathsf{G}_i) \quad \text{for each } i \geq 1, \qquad \mathsf{F} = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathsf{F}_i.$$

Then we clearly have the inclusions $\mathsf{F}_0 \subseteq \mathsf{G}_0 \subseteq \mathsf{F}_1 \subseteq \mathsf{G}_1 \subseteq \cdots$. Furthermore, G_0 is the class of context-free languages, F_1 is the smallest Presburger closed class containing CF, G_1 the algebraic extension of F_1, etc. In particular, F is the smallest Presburger closed and algebraically closed language class containing the context-free languages.

The following proposition is due to the fact that both $\mathsf{Alg}(\cdot)$ and $\mathsf{SLI}(\cdot)$ preserve (effective) semilinearity. The former has been shown by van Leeuwen [10].

▶ **Proposition 3.** *The class F is effectively semilinear.*

The work [4] characterized all those storage mechanisms among a large class (namely among those defined by graph products of the bicyclic monoid and the integers) that guarantee semilinear Parikh images. Each of the corresponding language classes was obtained

by alternating the operators $\mathsf{Alg}(\cdot)$ and $\mathsf{SLI}(\cdot)$, meaning that all these classes are contained in F. Hence, the following means that stacked counter automata are expressively complete for these storage mechanisms. It follows directly from Theorem 1 and Proposition 2.

▶ **Theorem 4.** *Stacked counter automata accept precisely the languages in* F.

One might wonder why F_0 is not chosen to be the regular languages. While this would be a natural choice, our recursive algorithm for computing downward closures relies on the following fact . Note that the regular languages are not Presburger closed.

▶ **Proposition 5.** *For each* $i \geq 0$*, the class* F_i *is an effective Presburger closed full semi-trio. Moreover, for each* $i \geq 0$*,* G_i *is an effective full semi-AFL.*

4 Parikh annotations

This section introduces Parikh annotations, the key tool in our procedure for computing downward closures. Suppose L is a semilinear language. Then for each $w \in L$, $\Psi(w)$ can be decomposed into a constant vector and a linear combination of period vectors from the semilinear representation of $\Psi(L)$. We call such a decomposition a *Parikh decomposition*. The main purpose of Parikh annotations is to provide transformations of languages that *make reference to Parikh decompositions* without leaving the respective language class. For example, suppose we want to transform a context-free language L into the language L' of all those words $w \in L$ whose Parikh decomposition does not contain a specified period vector. This may not be possible with rational transductions: If $L_\vee = \{a^n b^m \mid m = n \text{ or } m = 2n\}$, then the Parikh image is $(a+b)^\oplus \cup (a+2b)^\oplus$, but a finite state transducer cannot determine whether the input word has a Parikh image in $(a+b)^\oplus$ or in $(a+2b)^\oplus$. Therefore, a Parikh annotation for L is a language K in the same class with additional symbols that allow a finite state transducer (that is applied to K) to access the Parikh decomposition.

▶ **Definition 6.** *Let* $L \subseteq X^*$ *be a language and* $\mathcal{C}$ *be a language class. A* Parikh annotation (PA) for L in $\mathcal{C}$ *is a tuple* $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi)$*, where (1)* C, P *are alphabets such that* X, C, P *are pairwise disjoint, (2)* $K \subseteq C(X \cup P)^*$ *is in* $\mathcal{C}$*, (3)* φ *is a morphism* $\varphi\colon (C \cup P)^\oplus \to X^\oplus$*, (4)* P_c *is a subset* $P_c \subseteq P$ *for each* $c \in C$*, such that*

(i) $\pi_X(K) = L$ *(the* projection property*),*
(ii) $\varphi(\pi_{C\cup P}(w)) = \Psi(\pi_X(w))$ *for each* $w \in K$ *(the* counting property*), and*
(iii) $\Psi(\pi_{C\cup P}(K)) = \bigcup_{c\in C} c + P_c^\oplus$ *(the* commutative projection property*).*

Intuitively, a Parikh annotation describes for each w in L one or more Parikh decompositions of $\Psi(w)$. The symbols in C represent constant vectors and symbols in P represent period vectors. Here, the symbols in $P_c \subseteq P$ correspond to those that can be added to the constant vector corresponding to $c \in C$. Furthermore, for each $x \in C \cup P$, $\varphi(x)$ is the vector represented by x. The projection property states that removing the symbols in $C \cup P$ from words in K yields L. The commutative projection property requires that after $c \in C$ only symbols representing periods in P_c are allowed and that all their combinations occur. Finally, the counting property says that the additional symbols in $C \cup P$ indeed describe a Parikh decomposition of $\Psi(\pi_X(w))$. Clearly, the conditions of a Parikh annotation imply that L is semilinear.

▶ **Example 7.** *Let* $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ *and consider the regular set* $L = (ab)^*(ca^* \cup db^*)$*. For* $K = e(pab)^*c(qa)^* \cup f(rab)^*d(sb)^*$*,* $P = \{p, q, r, s\}$*, and* $\varphi\colon (C\cup P)^\oplus \to X^\oplus$ *with* $C = \{e, f\}$*,* $P_e = \{p, q\}$*,* $P_f = \{r, s\}$*,* $\varphi(e) = c$*,* $\varphi(f) = d$*,* $\varphi(p) = a + b$*,* $\varphi(q) = a$*,* $\varphi(r) = a + b$*, and* $\varphi(s) = b$*, the tuple* $(K, C, P, (P_g)_{g\in C}, \varphi)$ *is a Parikh annotation for* L *in* REG.

In a Parikh annotation, for each $cw \in K$ and $\mu \in P_c^{\oplus}$, we can find a word $cw' \in K$ such that $\Psi(\pi_{C\cup P}(cw')) = \Psi(\pi_{C\cup P}(cw)) + \mu$. In particular, this means $\Psi(\pi_X(cw')) = \Psi(\pi_X(cw)) + \varphi(\mu)$. In our applications, we will need a further guarantee that provides such words, but with additional information on their structure. Such a guarantee is granted by Parikh annotations with insertion marker. Suppose $\diamond \notin X$ and $u \in (X \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ with $u = u_0 \diamond u_1 \cdots \diamond u_n$ for $u_0, \ldots, u_n \in X^*$. Then we write $u \preceq_\diamond v$ if $v = u_0 v_1 u_1 \cdots v_n u_n$ for some $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in X^*$.

▶ **Definition 8.** *Let $L \subseteq X^*$ be a language and $\mathcal{C}$ be a language class. A* Parikh annotation with insertion marker (PAIM) for L in $\mathcal{C}$ *is a tuple $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ such that:*

(i) $\diamond \notin X$ *and* $K \subseteq C(X \cup P \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ *is in* $\mathcal{C}$,
(ii) $(\pi_{C\cup X\cup P}(K), C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi)$ *is a Parikh annotation for* L *in* $\mathcal{C}$,
(iii) there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that every* $w \in K$ *satisfies* $|w|_\diamond \leq k$ *(*boundedness*), and*
(iv) for each $cw \in K$ *and* $\mu \in P_c^{\oplus}$, *there is a* $w' \in L$ *with* $\pi_{X\cup\diamond}(cw) \preceq_\diamond w'$ *and* $\Psi(w') = \Psi(\pi_X(cw)) + \varphi(\mu)$. *This property is called the* insertion property.

If $|C| = 1$, *then the PAIM is called* linear *and we also write* $(K, c, P_c, \varphi, \diamond)$ *for the PAIM, where* $C = \{c\}$.

In other words, in a PAIM, each $v \in L$ has an annotation $cw \in K$ in which a bounded number of positions is marked such that for each $\mu \in P_c^{\oplus}$, we can find a $v' \in L$ with $\Psi(v') = \Psi(v) + \varphi(\mu)$ such that v' is obtained from v by inserting words in corresponding positions in v. In particular, this guarantees $v \preceq v'$.

▶ **Example 9.** *Let L and $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi)$ be as in Example 7. Furthermore, let $K' = e \diamond (pab)^* c \diamond (qa)^* \cup f \diamond (rab)^* d \diamond (sb)^*$. Then $(K', C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ is a PAIM for L in* REG. *Indeed, every word in K' has at most two occurrences of $\diamond$. Moreover, if $ew = e \diamond (pab)^m c \diamond (qa)^n \in K'$ and $\mu \in P_e^{\oplus}$, $\mu = k \cdot p + \ell \cdot q$, then $w' = (ab)^{k+m} c a^{\ell+n} \in L$ satisfies $\pi_{X\cup\diamond}(ew) = \diamond(ab)^m c \diamond a^n \preceq_\diamond (ab)^k (ab)^m c a^\ell a^n = w'$ and clearly $\Psi(\pi_X(w')) = \Psi(\pi_X(ew)) + \varphi(\mu)$ (and similarly for words $fw \in K'$).*

The main result of this section is that there is an algorithm that, given a language $L \in \mathsf{F}_i$ or $L \in \mathsf{G}_i$, constructs a PAIM for L in F_i or G_i, respectively.

▶ **Theorem 10.** *Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and L in F_i (G_i), one can construct a PAIM for L in F_i (G_i).*

Outline of the proof The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 10. The construction of PAIM proceeds recursively with respect to the level of our hierarchy. This means, we show that if PAIM can be constructed for F_i, then we can compute them for G_i (Lemma 17) and if they can be constructed for G_i, then they can be computed for F_{i+1} (Lemma 18). While the latter can be done with a direct construction, the former requires a series of involved steps:

- The general idea is to use recursion with respect to the number of nonterminals: Given a F_i-grammar for $L \in \mathsf{G}_i$, we present L in terms of languages whose grammars use fewer nonterminals. This presentation is done via substitutions and by using grammars with one nonterminal. The idea of presenting a language in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ using one-nonterminal grammars and substitutions follows van Leeuwen's proof of Parikh's theorem [10].
- We construct PAIM for languages generated by one-nonterminal grammars where we are given PAIM for the right-hand-sides (Lemma 16).

- We construct PAIM for languages $\sigma(L)$, where σ is a substitution, a PAIM is given for L and for each $\sigma(x)$ (Lemma 15). This construction is again divided into the case where σ is a letter substitution (i.e., one in which each symbol is mapped to a set of letters) and the general case. Since the case of letter substitutions constitutes the conceptually most involved step, part of its proof is contained in this extended abstract (Proposition 13).

Maybe surprisingly, the most conceptually involved step in the construction of PAIM lies within obtaining a Parikh annotation for $\sigma(L)$ in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$, where σ is a letter substitution and a PAIM for $L \subseteq X^*$ in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ is given. This is due to the fact that one has to substitute the symbols in X consistently with the symbols in $C \cup P$; more precisely, one has to maintain the agreement between $\varphi(\pi_{C\cup P}(\cdot))$ and $\Psi(\pi_X(\cdot))$.

In order to exploit the fact that this agreement exists in the first place, we use the following simple yet very useful lemma. It states that for a morphism ψ into a group, the only way a grammar G can guarantee $\mathsf{L}(G) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(h)$ is by encoding into each nonterminal A the value $\psi(u)$ for the words u that A derives. The G-compatible extension of ψ reconstructs this value for each nonterminal. Let $G = (N, T, P, S)$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-grammar and M be a monoid. A morphism $\psi\colon (N \cup T)^* \to M$ is called *G-compatible* if $u \Rightarrow_G^* v$ implies $\psi(u) = \psi(v)$ for $u, v \in (N \cup T)^*$. Moreover, we call G *reduced* if for each $A \in N$, we have $A \Rightarrow_G^* w$ for some $w \in T^*$ and $S \Rightarrow_G^* uAv$ for some $u, v \in (N \cup T)^*$.

▶ **Lemma 11.** *Let H be a group and $\psi\colon T^* \to H$ be a morphism. Furthermore, let $G = (N, T, P, S)$ be a reduced $\mathcal{C}$-grammar with $\mathsf{L}(G) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(h)$ for some $h \in H$. Then ψ has a unique G-compatible extension $\hat{\psi}\colon (N\cup T)^* \to H$. If $H = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathsf{F}_i$, $\hat{\psi}$ can be computed.*

We continue with the problem of replacing $C\cup P$ and X consistently. In order to simplify the setting and utilize the symmetry of the roles played by $C \cup P$ and X, we consider a slightly more general situation. There is an alphabet $X = X_0 \uplus X_1$, morphisms $\gamma_i\colon X_i^* \to \mathbb{N}$, $i = 0, 1$, and some $L \subseteq X^*$, $L \in \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$ with $\gamma_0(\pi_{X_0}(w)) = \gamma_1(\pi_{X_1}(w))$ for every $w \in L$. We wish to construct a language L' in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$ where each word in L' is obtained from a word in L as follows. We substitute each occurrence of $x \in X_i$ by one of $\gamma_i(x)$ many symbols y in an alphabet Y_i, each of which will be assigned a value $0 \leq \eta_i(y) \leq \gamma_i(x)$. Here, we want to guarantee that in every resulting word $w \in (Y_0 \cup Y_1)^*$, we have $\eta_0(\pi_{Y_0}(w)) = \eta_1(\pi_{Y_1}(w))$, meaning that the symbols in X_0 and in X_1 are replaced *consistently*. Formally, we have

$$Y_i = \{(x, j) \mid x \in X_i,\ 0 \leq j \leq \gamma_i(x)\},\ i = 0, 1, \quad Y = Y_0 \cup Y_1 \tag{1}$$

and the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} h_i\colon Y_i^* &\longrightarrow X_i^*, & h\colon Y^* &\longrightarrow X^*, & \eta_i\colon Y_i^* &\longrightarrow \mathbb{N}, \\ (x, j) &\longmapsto x, & (x, j) &\longmapsto x, & (x, j) &\longmapsto j, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and we want to construct a subset of $\hat{L} = \{w \in h^{-1}(L) \mid \eta_0(\pi_{Y_0}(w)) = \eta_1(\pi_{Y_1}(w))\}$ in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$. Observe that we cannot hope to find $\hat{L}$ itself in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$ in general. Take, for example, the context-free language $E = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ and $X_0 = \{a\}$, $X_1 = \{b\}$, $\gamma_0(a) = 1$, $\gamma_1(b) = 1$. Then the language $\hat{E}$ would not be context-free. However, the language $E' = \{wg(w)^R \mid w \in \{(a, 0), (a, 1)\}^*\}$, where g is the morphism with $(a, j) \mapsto (b, j)$ for $j = 0, 1$, is context-free. Although it is only a proper subset of $\hat{E}$, it is large enough to satisfy $\pi_{Y_i}(E') = \pi_{Y_i}(\hat{E}) = \pi_{Y_i}(h^{-1}(E))$ for $i = 0, 1$. We will see that in order to construct Parikh annotations, it suffices to use such under-approximations of $\hat{L}$.

Derivation trees and matchings In this work, by an *X-labeled tree*, we mean a finite ordered unranked tree in which each node carries a label from $X \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ for an alphabet X. For each node, there is a linear order on the set of its children. For each node x, we write $\mathsf{c}(x) \in X^*$ for the word obtained by reading the labels of x's children in this order. Furthermore, $\mathsf{yield}(x) \in X^*$ denotes the word obtained by reading leaf labels below the node x according to the linear order induced on the leaves. Moreover, if r is the root of t, we also write $\mathsf{yield}(t)$ for $\mathsf{yield}(r)$. The *height* of a tree is the maximal length of a path from the root to a leaf, i.e. a tree consisting of a single node has height 0. A *subtree* of a tree t is the tree consisting of all nodes below some node x of t. If x is a child of t's root, the subtree is a *direct subtree*.

Let $G = (N, T, P, S)$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-grammar. A *partial derivation tree (for G)* is an $(N \cup T)$-labeled tree t in which (i) each inner node x has a label $A \in N$ and there is some $A \to L$ in P with $\mathsf{c}(x) \in L$, and (ii) no ε-labeled node has a sibling. If, in addition, the root is labeled S and every leaf is labeled by $T \cup \{\varepsilon\}$, it is called a *derivation tree for G*.

Let t be a tree whose leaves are $X \cup \{\varepsilon\}$-labeled. Let L_i denote the set of X_i-labeled leaves of t. An *arrow collection for t* is a finite set A together with maps $\nu_i \colon A \to L_i$ for $i = 0, 1$. Hence, A can be thought of as a set of arrows pointing from X_0-labeled leaves to X_1-labeled leaves. We say an arrow $a \in A$ is *incident* to a leaf ℓ if $\nu_0(a) = \ell$ or $\nu_1(a) = \ell$. If ℓ is a leaf, then $d_A(\ell)$ denotes the number of arrows incident to ℓ. More generally, for a subtree s of t, $d_A(s)$ denotes the number of arrows incident to some leaf in s and some leaf outside of s. A is called a *k-matching* if (i) each leaf labeled $x \in X_i$ has precisely $\gamma_i(x)$ incident arrows, and (ii) $d_A(s) \leq k$ for every subtree s of t.

The following lemma applies Lemma 11. The latter implies that for nodes x of a derivation tree, the balance $\gamma_0(\pi_{X_0}(\mathsf{yield}(x))) - \gamma_1(\pi_{X_1}(\mathsf{yield}(x)))$ is bounded. This can be used to construct k-matchings in a bottom-up manner.

▶ **Lemma 12.** *Let $X = X_0 \uplus X_1$ and $\gamma_i \colon X_i^* \to \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 0, 1$ be a morphism. Let G be a reduced F_i-grammar with $\mathsf{L}(G) \subseteq X^*$ and $\gamma_0(\pi_{X_0}(w)) = \gamma_1(\pi_{X_1}(w))$ for every $w \in \mathsf{L}(G)$. Then one can compute a bound k such that each derivation tree of G admits a k-matching.*

We are now ready to construct the approximations necessary for obtaining PAIM.

▶ **Proposition 13** (Consistent substitution)**.** *Let $X = X_0 \uplus X_1$ and $\gamma_i \colon X_i^{\oplus} \to \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 0, 1$ be a morphism. Let $L \in \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$, $L \subseteq X^*$, a language with $\gamma_0(\pi_{X_0}(w)) = \gamma_1(\pi_{X_1}(w))$ for every $w \in L$. Furthermore, let Y_i, h_i, η_i for $i = 0, 1$ and Y, h be defined as in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2). Moreover, let L be given by a reduced grammar. Then one can construct a language $L' \in \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$, $L' \subseteq Y^*$, with*

(i) $L' \subseteq h^{-1}(L)$,
(ii) $\pi_{Y_i}(L') = \pi_{Y_i}(h^{-1}(L))$ *for* $i = 0, 1$,
(iii) $\eta_0(\pi_{Y_0}(w)) = \eta_1(\pi_{Y_1}(w))$ *for every* $w \in L'$.

Proof. Let $G_0 = (N, X, P_0, S)$ be a reduced F_i-grammar with $\mathsf{L}(G_0) = L$. Let $G_1 = (N, Y, P_1, S)$ be the grammar with $P_1 = \{A \to \hat{h}^{-1}(K) \mid A \to K \in P_0\}$, where $\hat{h} \colon (N \cup Y)^* \to (N \cup X)^*$ is the extension of $h \colon Y^* \to X^*$ that fixes N. With $L_1 = \mathsf{L}(G_1)$, we clearly have $L_1 = h^{-1}(L)$.

According to Lemma 12, we can find a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every derivation tree of G_0 admits a k-matching. With this, let $F = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid |z| \leq k\}$, $N_2 = N \times F$, and η be the morphism $\eta \colon (N_2 \cup Y)^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ with $(A, z) \mapsto z$ for $(A, z) \in N_2$, and $y \mapsto \eta_0(\pi_{Y_0}(y)) - \eta_1(\pi_{Y_1}(y))$ for $y \in Y$. Moreover, let $g \colon (N_2 \cup Y)^* \to (N \cup Y)^*$ be the morphism with $g((A, z)) = A$ for $(A, z) \in N_2$ and $g(y) = y$ for $y \in Y$. This allows us to define the set of productions



(a) t; arrows in A **(b)** t; $i = 1$; dashed arrow is the one in A' **(c)** t' **(d)** t''

Figure 1 Derivation trees in the proof of Proposition 13 for the context-free grammar G with productions $S \to aSb$, $S \to \varepsilon$ and $X_0 = \{a\}$, $X_1 = \{b\}$, $\gamma_0(a) = \gamma_1(b) = 1$.

$P_2 = \{(A, z) \to g^{-1}(L) \cap \eta^{-1}(z) \mid A \to K \in P_1\}$. Note that since F_i is an effective Presburger closed full semi-trio, we have effectively $g^{-1}(K) \cap \eta^{-1}(z) \in \mathsf{F}_i$ for $K \in \mathsf{F}_i$. Finally, let G_2 be the grammar $G_2 = (N_2, Y, P_2, (S, 0))$. We claim that $L' = \mathsf{L}(G_2)$ has the desired properties. Since $L' \subseteq L_1 = h^{-1}(L)$, Item i is satisfied. Furthermore, the construction guarantees that for a production $(A, z) \to w$ in G_2, we have $\eta(w) = z$. In particular, every $w \in Y^*$ with $(S, 0) \Rightarrow_{G_2}^* w$ exhibits $\eta_0(\pi_{Y_0}(w)) - \eta_1(\pi_{Y_1}(w)) = \eta(w) = 0$. Thus, we have shown Item iii.

Note that the inclusion "$\subseteq$" of Item ii follows from Item i. In order to prove "$\supseteq$", we shall use k-matchings in G_0 to construct derivations in G_2. See Fig. 1 for an example of the following construction of derivation trees. Let $w \in h^{-1}(L) = \mathsf{L}(G_1)$ and consider a derivation tree t for w in G_1. Let $\bar{t}$ be the $(N \cup X)$-tree obtained from t by replacing each leaf label $y \in Y$ by $h(y)$. Then $\bar{t}$ is a derivation tree of G_0 and admits a k-matching $\bar{A}$. Since $\bar{t}$ and t are isomorphic up to labels, we can obtain a corresponding arrow collection A in t (see Fig. 1a).

Let L_i denote the set of Y_i-labeled leaves of t for $i = 0, 1$. Now fix $i \in \{0, 1\}$. We choose a subset $A' \subseteq A$ as follows. Since $\bar{A}$ is a k-matching, each leaf $\ell \in L_i$ of t has precisely $\gamma_i(h(\lambda(\ell))) \geq \eta_i(\lambda(\ell))$ incident arrows in A. For each such $\ell \in L_i$, we include some arbitrary choice of $\eta_i(\lambda(\ell))$ arrows in A' (see Fig. 1b). The tree t' is obtained from t by changing the label of each leaf $\ell \in L_{1-i}$ from (x, j) to (x, j'), where j' is the number of arrows in A' incident to ℓ (see Fig. 1c). Note that since we only change labels of leaves in L_{1-i}, we have $\pi_{Y_i}(\mathsf{yield}(t')) = \pi_{Y_i}(\mathsf{yield}(t)) = \pi_{Y_i}(w)$.

For every subtree s of t', we define $\beta(s) = \eta_0(\pi_{Y_0}(\mathsf{yield}(s))) - \eta_1(\pi_{Y_1}(\mathsf{yield}(s)))$. By construction of A', each leaf $\ell \in L_j$ has precisely $\eta_j(\lambda(\ell))$ incident arrows in A' for $j = 0, 1$. Therefore,

$$\beta(s) = \sum_{\ell \in L_0 \cap s} d_{A'}(\ell) - \sum_{\ell \in L_1 \cap s} d_{A'}(\ell). \tag{3}$$

The absolute value of the right hand side of this equation is at most $d_{A'}(s)$ and hence

$$|\eta_0(\pi_{Y_0}(\mathsf{yield}(s))) - \eta_1(\pi_{Y_1}(\mathsf{yield}(s)))| = |\beta(s)| \leq d_{A'}(s) \leq d_A(s) \leq k \tag{4}$$

since $\bar{A}$ is a k-matching. In the case $s = t'$, Eq. (3) also tells us that

$$\eta_0(\pi_{Y_0}(\mathsf{yield}(t'))) - \eta_1(\pi_{Y_1}(\mathsf{yield}(t'))) = \sum_{\ell \in L_0} d_{A'}(\ell) - \sum_{\ell \in L_1} d_{A'}(\ell) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Let t'' be the tree obtained from t' as follows: For each N-labeled node x of t', we replace the label B of x with $(B, \beta(s))$, where s is the subtree below x (see Fig. 1d). By Eq. (4), this is a symbol in N_2. The root node of t'' has label $(S, 0)$ by Eq. (5). Furthermore, it follows by an induction on the hight of subtrees that if (B, z) is the label of a node x, then $z = \eta(\mathsf{c}(x))$. Hence, the tree t'' is a derivation tree of G_2. This means $\pi_{Y_i}(w) = \pi_{Y_i}(\mathsf{yield}(t')) = \pi_{Y_i}(\mathsf{yield}(t'')) \in L(G_2) = L'$, completing the proof of Item ii. ◀

Proposition 13 now allows us to construct PAIM for languages $\sigma(L)$, where σ is a letter substitution. The essential idea is to use a PAIM $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ for L and then apply Proposition 13 to K with $X_0 = Z \cup \{\diamond\}$ and $X_1 = C \cup P$. One can clearly assume that a single letter a from Z is replaced by $\{a, b\} \subseteq Z'$. We can therefore choose $\gamma_0(w)$ to be the number of a's in w and $\gamma_1(w)$ to be the number of a's represented by symbols in $C \cup P$. Then the counting property of K entails $\gamma_0(w) = \gamma_1(w)$ for $w \in K$ and thus applicability of Proposition 13. Item ii then yields the projection property for $i = 0$ and the commutative projection property for $i = 1$ and Item iii yields the counting property for the new PAIM.

▶ **Lemma 14** (Letter substitution). *Let $\sigma\colon Z \to \mathcal{P}(Z')$ be a letter substitution. Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a PAIM for $L \in \mathsf{G}_i$ in G_i, one can construct a PAIM in G_i for $\sigma(L)$.*

The basic idea for the case of general substitutions is to replace each x by a PAIM for $\sigma(x)$. Here, Lemma 14 allows us to assume that the PAIM for each $\sigma(x)$ is linear. However, we have to make sure that the number of occurrences of $\diamond$ remains bounded.

▶ **Lemma 15** (Substitutions). *Let $L \subseteq X^*$ in G_i and σ be a G_i-substitution. Given a PAIM in G_i for L and for each $\sigma(x)$, $x \in X$, one can construct a PAIM for $\sigma(L)$ in G_i.*

The next step is to construct PAIM for languages $\mathsf{L}(G)$, where G has just one nonterminal S and PAIM are given for the right-hand-sides. Here, it suffices to obtain a PAIM for $\mathsf{SF}(G)$ in the case that S occurs in every word on the right hand side: Then $\mathsf{L}(G)$ can be obtained from $\mathsf{SF}(G)$ using a substitution. Applying $S \to R$ then means that for some $w \in R$, $\Psi(w) - S$ is added to the Parikh image of the sentential form. Therefore, computing a PAIM for $\mathsf{SF}(G)$ is akin to computing a semilinear representation for $S^{\oplus}$, where S is semilinear.

▶ **Lemma 16** (One nonterminal). *Let G be a G_i-grammar with one nonterminal. Furthermore, suppose PAIM in G_i are given for the right-hand-sides in G. Then we can construct a PAIM for $\mathsf{L}(G)$ in G_i.*

Using Lemmas 15 and 16, we can now construct PAIM recursively with respect to the number of nonterminals in G.

▶ **Lemma 17** (PAIM for algebraic extensions). *Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and an F_i-grammar G, along with a PAIM in F_i for each right hand side, one can construct a PAIM for $\mathsf{L}(G)$ in G_i.*

The last step is to compute PAIM for languages in $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathsf{G}_i)$. Then, Theorem 10 follows.

▶ **Lemma 18** (PAIM for semilinear intersections). *Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$, a language $L \subseteq X^*$ in G_i, a semilinear $S \subseteq X^{\oplus}$, and a morphism $h\colon X^* \to Y^*$, along with a PAIM in G_i for L, one can construct a PAIM for $h(L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S))$ in $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathsf{G}_i)$.*

5 Computing downward closures

The procedure for computing downward closures works recursively with respect to the hierarchy $\mathsf{F}_0 \subseteq \mathsf{G}_0 \subseteq \cdots$. For languages in $\mathsf{G}_i = \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$, we use an idea by van Leeuwen [11],

who proved that downward closures are computable for $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if this is the case for $\mathcal{C}$. This means we can compute downward closures for G_i if we can compute them for F_i. For the latter, we use Lemma 19, which is based on the following idea. Using a PAIM for L in G_i, one constructs a language $L' \supseteq L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$ in which every word admits insertions that yield a word in $L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$, meaning that $L'{\downarrow} = (L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)){\downarrow}$. Here, L' is obtained from the PAIM using a rational transduction, which implies $L' \in \mathsf{G}_i$.

▶ **Lemma 19.** *Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$, a language $L \subseteq X^*$ in G_i, and a semilinear $S \subseteq X^\oplus$, one can compute a language $L' \in \mathsf{G}_i$ with $L'{\downarrow} = (L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)){\downarrow}$.*

▶ **Theorem 20.** *Given a language L in F, one can compute a finite automaton for $L{\downarrow}$.*

Proof. We perform the computation recursively with respect to the level of the hierarchy $\mathsf{F}_0 \subseteq \mathsf{G}_0 \subseteq \mathsf{F}_1 \subseteq \mathsf{G}_1 \subseteq \cdots$.

- If $L \in \mathsf{F}_0$, then L is finite and we can clearly compute $L{\downarrow}$.
- If $L \in \mathsf{F}_i$ with $i \geq 1$, then $L = h(L' \cap \Psi^{-1}(S))$ for some $L' \subseteq X^*$ in G_{i-1}, a semilinear $S \subseteq X^\oplus$, and a morphism h. Since $h(M){\downarrow} = h(M{\downarrow}){\downarrow}$ for any $M \subseteq X^*$, it suffices to describe how to compute $(L' \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)){\downarrow}$. Using Lemma 19, we construct a language $L'' \in \mathsf{G}_{i-1}$ with $L''{\downarrow} = (L' \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)){\downarrow}$ and then recursively compute $L''{\downarrow}$.
- If $L \in \mathsf{G}_i$, then L is given by an F_i-grammar G. Using recursion, we compute the downward closure of each right-hand-side of G. We obtain a new REG-grammar G' by replacing each right-hand-side in G with its downward closure. Then $\mathsf{L}(G'){\downarrow} = L{\downarrow}$. Since we can construct a context-free grammar for $\mathsf{L}(G')$, we can compute $\mathsf{L}(G'){\downarrow}$ using the available algorithms by van Leeuwen [10] or Courcelle [5].

◀

6 Strictness of the hierarchy

In this section, we present another application of Parikh annotations. Using PAIM, one can show that the inclusions $\mathsf{F}_0 \subseteq \mathsf{G}_0 \subseteq \mathsf{F}_1 \subseteq \mathsf{G}_1 \subseteq \cdots$ in the hierarchy are, in fact, all strict. It is of course easy to see that $\mathsf{F}_0 \subsetneq \mathsf{G}_0 \subsetneq \mathsf{F}_1$, since F_0 contains only finite sets and F_1 contains, for example, $\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$. In order to prove strictness at higher levels, we present two transformations: The first turns a language from $\mathsf{F}_i \setminus \mathsf{G}_{i-1}$ into one in $\mathsf{G}_i \setminus \mathsf{F}_i$ (Proposition 21) and the second turns one from $\mathsf{G}_i \setminus \mathsf{F}_i$ into one in $\mathsf{F}_{i+1} \setminus \mathsf{G}_i$ (Proposition 24).

The essential idea of the next proposition is as follows. For the sake of simplicity, assume $(L\#)^* = L' \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$ for $L' \in \mathcal{C}$, $L' \subseteq (X \cup \{\#\})^*$. Consider a PAIM $(K', C, P, (P_c)_{c \in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ for L' in $\mathcal{C}$. Using a rational transduction, we obtain from K' a language $\hat{L} \subseteq (X \cup \{\#, \diamond\})^*$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that every member of $\hat{L}$ admits an insertion at $\diamond$ that yields a word from $(L\#)^* = L' \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$. Using rational transductions again, we can then pick all words that appear between two $\#$ in some member of $\hat{L}$ and contain no $\diamond$. Since there is a bound on the number of $\diamond$ in K' (and hence in $\hat{L}$), every word from L has to occur in this way. On the other hand, since inserting at $\diamond$ yields a word in $(L\#)^*$, every such word without $\diamond$ must be in L.

▶ **Proposition 21.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a full trio such that every language in $\mathcal{C}$ has a PAIM in $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, let X be an alphabet with $\# \notin X$. If $(L\#)^* \in \mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$ for $L \subseteq X^*$, then $L \in \mathcal{C}$.*

In order to prove Proposition 24, we need a new concept. A bursting grammar is one in which essentially (meaning: aside from a subsequent replacement by terminal words of bounded length) the whole word is generated in a single application of a production.

▶ **Definition 22.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a language class and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A $\mathcal{C}$-grammar G is called* k-bursting *if for every derivation tree t for G and every node x of t we have: $|\mathsf{yield}(x)| > k$ implies $\mathsf{yield}(x) = \mathsf{yield}(t)$. A grammar is said to be* bursting *if it is k-bursting for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

▶ **Lemma 23.** *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a union closed full semi-trio and G a bursting $\mathcal{C}$-grammar, then $\mathsf{L}(G) \in \mathcal{C}$.*

The essential idea for Proposition 24 is the following. We construct a $\mathcal{C}$-grammar G' for L by removing from a $\mathcal{C}$-grammar G for $M = (L \sqcup \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}) \cap a^*(bX)^*c^*$ all terminals a, b, c. Using Lemma 11, one can then show that G' is bursting.

▶ **Proposition 24.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a union closed full semi-trio and let $a, b, c \notin X$ and $L \subseteq X^*$. If $L \sqcup \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\} \in \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$, then $L \in \mathcal{C}$.*

We can now show that the hierarchy $\mathsf{F}_0 \subseteq \mathsf{G}_0 \subseteq \mathsf{F}_1 \subseteq \mathsf{G}_1 \subseteq \cdots$ is strict.

▶ **Theorem 25.** *For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define the alphabets $X_0 = \emptyset$, $Y_i = X_i \cup \{\#_i\}$, $X_{i+1} = Y_i \cup \{a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}, c_{i+1}\}$. Moreover, define $U_i \subseteq X_i^*$ and $V_i \subseteq Y_i^*$ as $U_0 = \{\varepsilon\}$, $V_i = (U_i \#_i)^*$, and $U_{i+1} = V_i \sqcup \{a_{i+1}^n b_{i+1}^n c_{i+1}^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ for $i \geq 0$. Then $V_i \in \mathsf{G}_i \setminus \mathsf{F}_i$ and $U_{i+1} \in \mathsf{F}_{i+1} \setminus \mathsf{G}_i$.*

References

[1] P. A. Abdulla, L. Boasson, and A. Bouajjani. "Effective Lossy Queue Languages." In: *Proc. of ICALP 2001*. Vol. 2076. LNCS. Springer, 2001, pp. 639–651.

[2] P. A. Abdulla and B. Jonsson. "Verifying programs with unreliable channels." In: *Information and Computation* 127.2 (1996), pp. 91–101.

[3] M. F. Atig, A. Bouajjani, and S. Qadeer. "Context-Bounded Analysis for Concurrent Programs with Dynamic Creation of Threads." In: *Proc. of TACAS 2009*. Vol. 5505. LNCS. Springer, 2009, pp. 107–123.

[4] P. Buckheister and G. Zetzsche. "Semilinearity and Context-Freeness of Languages Accepted by Valence Automata." In: *Proc. of MFCS 2013*. Vol. 8087. LNCS. Springer, 2013, pp. 231–242.

[5] B. Courcelle. "On constructing obstruction sets of words." In: *Bulletin of the EATCS* 44 (1991), pp. 178–186.

[6] H. Gruber, M. Holzer, and M. Kutrib. "The size of Higman-Haines sets." In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 387.2 (2007), pp. 167–176.

[7] P. Habermehl, R. Meyer, and H. Wimmel. "The Downward-Closure of Petri Net Languages." In: *Proc. of ICALP 2010*. Vol. 6199. LNCS. Springer, 2010, pp. 466–477.

[8] G. Higman. "Ordering by divisibility in abstract algebras." In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society. Third Series* 2 (1952), pp. 326–336.

[9] E. Kopczynski and A. W. To. "Parikh Images of Grammars: Complexity and Applications." In: *Proc. of LICS 2010*. IEEE, 2010, pp. 80–89.

[10] J. van Leeuwen. "A generalisation of Parikh's theorem in formal language theory." In: *Proc. of ICALP 1974*. Vol. 14. LNCS. Springer, 1974, pp. 17–26.

[11] J. van Leeuwen. "Effective constructions in well-partially-ordered free monoids." In: *Discrete Mathematics* 21.3 (1978), pp. 237–252.

[12] Z. Long, G. Calin, R. Majumdar, and R. Meyer. "Language-Theoretic Abstraction Refinement." In: *Proc. of FASE 2012*. Vol. 7212. LNCS. Springer, 2012, pp. 362–376.

[13] R. Mayr. "Undecidable problems in unreliable computations." In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 297.1-3 (2003), pp. 337–354.

[14] G. Zetzsche. "Silent Transitions in Automata with Storage." In: *Proc. of ICALP 2013*. Vol. 7966. LNCS. Springer, 2013, pp. 434–445.

A Proof of Theorem 1

In order to prove Theorem 1, we define the relevant notions in detail.

Let A be a (not necessarily finite) set of symbols and $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$. The pair (A, R) is called a *(monoid) presentation*. The smallest congruence of A^* containing R is denoted by $\equiv_R$ and we will write $[w]_R$ for the congruence class of $w \in A^*$. The *monoid presented by* (A, R) is defined as $A^*/\equiv_R$. For the monoid presented by (A, R), we also write $\langle A \mid R\rangle$, where R is denoted by equations instead of pairs.

Note that since we did not impose a finiteness restriction on A, every monoid has a presentation. Furthermore, for monoids M_1, M_2 we can find presentations (A_1, R_1) and (A_2, R_2) such that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. We define the *free product* $M_1 * M_2$ to be presented by $(A_1 \cup A_2, R_1 \cup R_2)$. Note that $M_1 * M_2$ is well-defined up to isomorphism. By way of the injective morphisms $[w]_{R_i} \mapsto [w]_{R_1 \cup R_2}$, $w \in A_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2$, we will regard M_1 and M_2 as subsets of $M_1 * M_2$. It is a well-known property of free products that if $\varphi_i \colon M_i \to N$ is a morphism for $i = 1, 2$, then there is a unique morphism $\varphi \colon M_1 * M_2 \to N$ with $\varphi|_{M_i} = \varphi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Furthermore, if $u_0 v_1 u_1 \cdots v_n u_n = 1$ for $u_0, \ldots, u_n \in M_1$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in M_2$ (or vice versa), then $u_j = 1$ or $v_j = 1$ for some $0 \leq j \leq n$. Moreover, we write $M^{(n)}$ for the n-fold free product $M * \cdots * M$.

One of the directions of the equality $\mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B} * M) = \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(M))$ follows from previous work. In [14] (and, for a more general product construction, in [4]), the following was shown.

▶ **Theorem 26** ([14, 4]). *Let M_0 and M_1 be monoids. Then $\mathsf{VA}(M_0 * M_1) \subseteq \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(M_0) \cup \mathsf{VA}(M_1))$.*

Let M and N be monoids. In the following, we write $M \hookrightarrow N$ if there is a morphism $\varphi \colon M \to N$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(1) = \{1\}$. Clearly, if $M \hookrightarrow N$, then $\mathsf{VA}(M) \subseteq \mathsf{VA}(N)$: Replacing in a valence automaton over M all elements $m \in M$ with $\varphi(m)$ yields a valence automaton over N that accepts the same language.

▶ **Lemma 27.** *If $M \hookrightarrow M'$ and $N \hookrightarrow N'$, then $M * N \hookrightarrow M' * N'$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \colon M \to M'$ and $\psi \colon N \to N'$. Then the morphism $\kappa \colon M * N \to M' * N'$ with $\kappa|_M = \varphi$ and $\kappa|_N = \psi$ clearly satisfies $\kappa^{-1}(1) = 1$. ◀

We will use the notation $R_1(M) = \{a \in M \mid \exists b \in M \colon ab = 1\}$.

▶ **Lemma 28.** *Let M be a monoid with $R_1(M) \neq \{1\}$. Then $\mathbb{B}^{(n)} * M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B} * M$ for every $n \geq 1$. In particular, $\mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * M) = \mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B}^{(n)} * M)$ for every $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. If $\mathbb{B}^{(n)} * M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B} * M$ and $\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B} * M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B} * M$, then

$$\mathbb{B}^{(n+1)} * M \cong \mathbb{B} * (\mathbb{B}^{(n)} * M) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B} * (\mathbb{B} * M) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B} * M.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove $\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B} * M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B} * M$.

Let $\mathbb{B}_s = \langle s, \bar{s} \mid s\bar{s} = 1\rangle$ for $s \in \{p, q, r\}$. We show $\mathbb{B}_p * \mathbb{B}_q * M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_r * M$. Suppose M is presented by (X, R). We regard the monoids $\mathbb{B}_p * \mathbb{B}_q * M$ and $\mathbb{B}_r * M$ as embedded into $\mathbb{B}_p * \mathbb{B}_q * \mathbb{B}_r * M$, which by definition of the free product, has a presentation (Y, S), where $Y = \{p, \bar{p}, q, \bar{q}, r, \bar{r}\} \cup X$ and S consists of R and the equations $s\bar{s} = 1$ for $s \in \{p, q, r\}$. For $w \in Y^*$, we write $[w]$ for the congruence class generated by S. Since $R_1(M) \neq \{1\}$, we find $u, v \in X^*$ with $[uv] = 1$ and $[u] \neq 1$. and let $\varphi \colon (\{p, \bar{p}, q, \bar{q}\} \cup X)^* \to (\{r, \bar{r}\} \cup X)^*$ be the morphism with $\varphi(x) = x$ for $x \in X$ and

$$p \mapsto rr, \qquad \bar{p} \mapsto \bar{r}\bar{r},$$
$$q \mapsto rur, \qquad \bar{q} \mapsto \bar{r}v\bar{r}.$$

We show by induction on $|w|$ that $[\varphi(w)] = 1$ implies $[w] = 1$. Since this is trivial for $w = \varepsilon$, we assume $|w| \geq 1$. Now suppose $[\varphi(w)] = [\varepsilon]$ for some $w \in (\{p, \bar{p}, q, \bar{q}\} \cup X)^*$. If $w \in X^*$, then $[\varphi(w)] = [w]$ and hence $[w] = 1$. Otherwise, we have $\varphi(w) = xry\bar{r}z$ for some $y \in X^*$ with $[y] = 1$ and $[xz] = 1$. This means $w = fsy\overline{s'}g$ for $s, s' \in \{p, q\}$ with $\varphi(fs) = xr$ and $\varphi(\overline{s'}g) = \bar{r}z$. If $s \neq s'$, then $s = p$ and $s' = q$; or $s = q$ and $s' = p$. In the former case

$$[\varphi(w)] = [\varphi(f)\ rr\ y\ \bar{r}v\bar{r}\ \varphi(g)] = [\varphi(f)rv\bar{r}\varphi(g)] \neq 1$$

since $[v] \neq 1$ and in the latter

$$[\varphi(w)] = [\varphi(f)\ rur\ y\ \bar{r}\bar{r}\ \varphi(g)] = [\varphi(f)ru\bar{r}\varphi(g)] \neq 1$$

since $[u] \neq 1$. Hence $s = s'$. This means $1 = [w] = [fsy\bar{s}g] = [fg]$ and $1 = [\varphi(w)] = [\varphi(fg)]$ and since $|fg| < |w|$, induction yields $[w] = [fg] = 1$.

Hence, we have shown that $[\varphi(w)] = 1$ implies $[w] = 1$. Since, on the other hand, $[u] = [v]$ implies $[\varphi(u)] = [\varphi(v)]$ for all $u, v \in (\{p, \bar{p}, q, \bar{q}\} \cup X)^*$, we can lift φ to a morphism witnessing $\mathbb{B}_p * \mathbb{B}_q * M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_r * M$. ◀

Proof of Theorem 1. It suffices to prove the first statement: If $R_1(M) \neq \{1\}$, then by Lemma 28, $\mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * M) = \mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B} * M)$. Since $\mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq \mathsf{CF}$, Theorem 26 yields

$$\mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * N) \subseteq \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B}) \cup \mathsf{VA}(N)) \subseteq \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(N))$$

for every monoid N. Therefore,

$$\mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B} * M) \subseteq \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * M)) \subseteq \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(M))) = \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(M)).$$

It remains to be shown that $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{VA}(M)) \subseteq \mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B} * M)$.

Let $G = (N, T, P, S)$ be a reduced $\mathsf{VA}(M)$-grammar and let $X = N \cup T$. Since $\mathsf{VA}(M)$ is closed under union, we may assume that for each $B \in N$, there is exactly one production $B \to L_B$ in P. For each $B \in N$, let $A_B = (Q_B, X, M, E_B, q_0^B, F_B)$ by a valence automaton over M with $\mathsf{L}(A_B) = L_B$. We may clearly assume that $Q_B \cap Q_C = \emptyset$ for $B \neq C$ and that for each $(p, w, m, q) \in E_B$, we have $|w| \leq 1$.

In order to simplify the correctness proof, we modify G. Let $\lfloor$ and $\rfloor$ be new symbols and let G' be the grammar $G' = (N, T \cup \{\lfloor, \rfloor\}, P', S)$, where P' consists of the productions $B \to \lfloor L \rfloor$ for $B \to L \in P$. Moreover, let

$$K = \{v \in (N \cup T \cup \{\lfloor, \rfloor\})^* \mid u \Rightarrow_{G'}^* v,\ u \in L_S\}.$$

Then $\mathsf{L}(G) = \pi_T(K \cap (T \cup \{\lfloor, \rfloor\})^*)$ and it suffices to show $K \in \mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B} * M)$.

Let $Q = \bigcup_{B \in N} Q_B$. For each $q \in Q$, let $\mathbb{B}_q = \langle q, \bar{q} \mid q\bar{q} = 1 \rangle$ be an isomorphic copy of $\mathbb{B}$. Let $M' = \mathbb{B}_{q_1} * \cdots * \mathbb{B}_{q_n} * M$, where $Q = \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$. We shall prove $K \in \mathsf{VA}(M')$, which implies $K \in \mathsf{VA}(\mathbb{B} * \mathbb{B} * M)$ by Lemma 28 since $R_1(\mathbb{B} * M) \neq \{1\}$.

Let $E = \bigcup_{B \in N} E_B$, $F = \bigcup_{B \in N} F_B$. The new set E' consists of the following transitions:

$$(p, x, m, q) \qquad \text{for } (p, x, m, q) \in E, \tag{6}$$

$$(p, \lfloor, mq, q_0^B) \qquad \text{for } (p, B, m, q) \in E,\ B \in N, \tag{7}$$

$$(p, \rfloor, \bar{q}, q) \qquad \text{for } p \in F,\ q \in Q. \tag{8}$$

We claim that with $A' = (Q, N \cup T \cup \{\lfloor, \rfloor\}, M', E', q_0^S, F)$, we have $\mathsf{L}(A') = K$.

Let $v \in K$, where $u \Rightarrow_{G'}^n v$ for some $u \in L_S$. We show $v \in \mathsf{L}(A')$ by induction on n. For $n = 0$, we have $v \in L_S$ and can use transitions of type (6) inherited from A_S to accept v. If

$n \geq 1$, let $u \Rightarrow_{G'}^{n-1} v' \Rightarrow_{G'} v$. Then $v' \in \mathsf{L}(A')$ and $v' = xBy$, $v = x\lfloor w \rfloor y$ for some $B \in N$, $w \in L_B$. The run for v' uses a transition $(p, B, m, q) \in E$. Instead of using this transition, we can use $(p, \lfloor, mq, q_0^B)$, then execute the (6)-type transitions for $w \in L_B$, and finally use $(f, \rfloor, \bar{q}, q)$, where f is the final state in the run for w. This has the effect of reading $\lfloor w \rfloor$ from the input and multiplying $mq1\bar{q} = m$ to the storage monoid. Hence, the new run is valid and accepts v. Hence, $v \in \mathsf{L}(A')$. This proves $K \subseteq \mathsf{L}(A')$.

In order to show $\mathsf{L}(A') \subseteq K$, consider the morphisms $\varphi\colon (T \cup \{\lfloor, \rfloor\})^* \to \mathbb{B}$, $\psi\colon M' \to \mathbb{B}$ with $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $x \in T$, $\varphi(\lfloor) = a$, $\varphi(\rfloor) = \bar{a}$, $\psi(q) = a$ for $q \in Q$, $\psi(\bar{q}) = \bar{a}$, and $\psi(m) = 1$ for $m \in M$. The transitions of A' are constructed such that $(p, \varepsilon, 1) \to_{A'}^* (q, w, m)$ implies $\varphi(w) = \psi(m)$. In particular, if $v \in \mathsf{L}(A')$, then $\pi_{\{\lfloor, \rfloor\}}(v)$ is a semi-Dyck word with respect to $\lfloor$ and $\rfloor$.

Let $v \in \mathsf{L}(A')$ and let $n = |w|_{\lfloor}$. We show $v \in K$ by induction on n. If $n = 0$, then the run for v only used transitions of type (6) and hence $v \in L_S$. If $n \geq 1$, since $\pi_{\{\lfloor, \rfloor\}}(v)$ is a semi-Dyck word, we can write $v = x\lfloor w \rfloor y$ for some $w \in (N \cup T)^*$. Since $\lfloor$ and $\rfloor$ can only be produced by transitions of the form (7) and (8), respectively, the run for v has to be of the form

$$\begin{aligned}(q_0^S, \varepsilon, 1) &\to_{A'}^* (p, x, r)\\ &\to_{A'} (q_0^B, x\lfloor, rmq)\\ &\to_{A'}^* (f, x\lfloor w, rmqs)\\ &\to_{A'} (q', x\lfloor w\rfloor, rmqs\overline{q'})\\ &\to_{A'}^* (f', x\lfloor w\rfloor y, rmqs\overline{q'}t)\end{aligned}$$

for some $p, q, q' \in Q$, $B \in N$, $(p, B, m, q) \in E$, $f, f' \in F$, $r, t \in M'$, and $s \in M$ and with $rmqs\overline{q'}t = 1$. This last condition implies $s = 1$ and $q = q'$, which in turn entails $rmt = 1$. This also means $(p, B, m, q') = (p, B, m, q) \in E$ and $(q_0^B, \varepsilon, 1) \to_{A'}^* (f, w, s) = (f, w, 1)$ and hence $w \in L_B$. Using the transition $(p, B, m, q') \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(q_0^S, \varepsilon, 1) &\to_{A'}^* (p, x, r)\\ &\to_{A'} (q', xB, rm)\\ &\to_{A'}^* (f', xBy, rmt).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $xBy \in \mathsf{L}(A')$ and $|xBy|_{\lfloor} < |v|_{\lfloor}$. Thus, induction yields $xBy \in K$ and since $xBy \Rightarrow_{G'} x\lfloor w\rfloor y$, we have $v = x\lfloor w\rfloor y \in K$. This establishes $\mathsf{L}(A') = K$. ◀

B Proof of Proposition 2

Proof. We start with the inclusion "$\subseteq$". Since the right-hand side is closed under morphisms and union, it suffices to show that for each $L \in \mathsf{VA}(M)$, $L \subseteq X^*$, and semilinear $S \subseteq X^{\oplus}$, we have $L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S) \in \mathsf{VA}(M \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ for some $n \geq 0$. Let $n = |X|$ and pick a linear order on X. This induces an embedding $X^{\oplus} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$, by way of which we consider $X^{\oplus}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Suppose $L = \mathsf{L}(A)$ for a valence automaton A over M. The new valence automaton A' over $M \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ simulates A and, if w is the input read by A, adds $\Psi(w)$ to the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ component of the storage monoid. When A reaches a final state, A' nondeterministically changes to a new state q_1, in which it nondeterministically subtracts an element of S from the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ component. Afterwards, A' switches to another new state q_2, which is the only accepting state in A'. Clearly, A' accepts a word w if and only if $w \in \mathsf{L}(A)$ and $\Psi(w) \in S$, hence $\mathsf{L}(A') = \mathsf{L}(A) \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$. This proves "$\subseteq$".

Suppose $L = \mathsf{L}(A)$ for some valence automaton $A = (Q, X, M \times \mathbb{Z}^n, E, q_0, F)$. We construct a valence automaton A' over M as follows. The input alphabet X' of A' consists of all those $(w, \mu) \in X^* \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ for which there is an edge $(p, w, (m, \mu), q) \in E$ for some $p, q \in Q$, $m \in M$. A' has edges

$$E' = \{(p, (w, \mu), m, q) \mid (p, w, (m, \mu), q) \in E\}.$$

In other words, whenever A reads w and adds $(m, \mu) \in M \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ to its storage monoid, A' adds m and reads (w, μ) from the input. Let $\psi\colon X'^{\oplus} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the morphism that projects the symbols in X' to the right component and let $h\colon X'^* \to X^*$ be the morphism that projects the symbols in X' to the left component. Note that the set $S = \psi^{-1}(0) \subseteq X'^{\oplus}$ is Presburger definable and hence effectively semilinear. We clearly have $\mathsf{L}(A) = h(\mathsf{L}(A') \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)) \in \mathsf{SLI}(\mathsf{VA}(M))$. This proves "$\supseteq$". Clearly, all constructions in the proof can be carried out effectively. ◀

C Proof of Proposition 5

▶ **Proposition 29.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an effective full semi-trio. Then $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ is an effective full semi-AFL.*

Proof. Since $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ is clearly effectively closed under union, we only prove effective closure under rational transductions.

Let $G = (N, T, P, S)$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-grammar and let $U \subseteq X^* \times T^*$ be a rational transduction. Since we can easily construct a $\mathcal{C}$-grammar for $a\mathsf{L}(G)$ (just add a production $S' \to \{aS\}$) and the rational transduction $(\varepsilon, a)U = \{(v, au) \mid (v, u) \in U\}$, we may assume that $\mathsf{L}(G) \subseteq T^+$.

Let U be given by the automaton $A = (Q, X^* \times T^*, E, q_0, F)$. We may assume that

$$E \subseteq Q \times ((X \times \{\varepsilon\}) \cup (\{\varepsilon\} \times T)) \times Q$$

and $F = \{f\}$. We regard $Z = Q \times T \times Q$ and $N' = Q \times N \times Q$ as alphabets. For each $p, q \in Q$, let $U_{p,q} \subseteq N' \times (N \cup T)^*$ be the transduction such that for $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$, $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in N \cup T$, $n \geq 1$, the set $U_{p,q}(w)$ consists of all words

$$(p, w_1, q_1)(q_1, w_2, q_2) \cdots (q_{n-1}, w_n, q)$$

with $q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1} \in Q$. Moreover, let $U_{p,q}(\varepsilon) = \{\varepsilon\}$ if $p = q$ and $U_{p,q}(\varepsilon) = \emptyset$ if $p \neq q$. Observe that $U_{p,q}$ is locally finite. The new grammar $G' = (N', Z, P', (q_0, S, f))$ has productions $(p, B, q) \to U_{p,q}(L)$ for each $p, q \in Q$ and $B \to L \in P$. Let $\sigma\colon Z^* \to \mathcal{P}(X^*)$ be the regular substitution defined by

$$\sigma((p, x, q)) = \{w \in X^* \mid (p, (\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \to_A^* (q, (w, x))\}.$$

We claim that $U(\mathsf{L}(G)) = \sigma(\mathsf{L}(G'))$. First, it can be shown by inducion on the number of derivation steps that $\mathsf{SF}(G') = U_{q_0,f}(\mathsf{SF}(G))$. This implies $\mathsf{L}(G') = U_{q_0,f}(\mathsf{L}(G))$. Since for every language $K \subseteq T^+$, we have $\sigma(U_{q_0,f}(K)) = UK$, we may conclude $\sigma(\mathsf{L}(G')) = U(\mathsf{L}(G))$.

$\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ is clearly effectively closed under $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$-substitutions. Since $\mathcal{C}$ contains the finite languages, this means $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ is closed under REG-substitutions. Hence, we can construct a $\mathcal{C}$-grammar for $U(\mathsf{L}(G)) = \sigma(\mathsf{L}(G'))$. ◀

▶ **Proposition 30.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an effective full semi-AFL. Then $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$ is an effective Presburger closed full trio. In particular, $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})) = \mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{C}$, $L \subseteq X^*$, $S \subseteq X^\oplus$ semilinear, and $h\colon X^* \to Y^*$ be a morphism. If $T \subseteq Z^* \times Y^*$ is a rational transduction, then $Th(L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)) = U(L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S))$, where $U \subseteq Z^* \times X^*$ is the rational transduction $U = \{(v,u) \in Z^* \times X^* \mid (v,h(u)) \in T\}$. We may assume that $X \cap Z = \emptyset$. Construct a regular language $R \subseteq (X \cup Z)^*$ with $U = \{(\pi_Z(w), \pi_X(w)) \mid w \in R\}$. With this, we have

$$U(L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)) = \pi_Z\left((R \cap (L \sqcup Z^*)) \cap \Psi^{-1}(S + Z^\oplus)\right).$$

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is an effective full semi-AFL, and thus $R \cap (L \sqcup Z^*)$ is effectively in $\mathcal{C}$, the right hand side is effectively contained in $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$. This proves that $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$ is an effective full trio.

Let us prove effective closure under union. Now suppose $L_i \subseteq X_i^*$, $S_i \subseteq X_i^\oplus$, and $h_i\colon X_i^* \to Y^*$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $\bar{X}_2$ is a disjoint copy of X_2 with bijection $\varphi\colon X_2 \to \bar{X}_2$, then

$$h_1(L_1 \cap \Psi^{-1}(S_1)) \cup h_2(L_2 \cap \Psi^{-1}(S_2)) = h((L_1 \cup \varphi(L_2)) \cap \Psi^{-1}(S_1 \cup \varphi(S_2))),$$

where $h\colon X_1 \cup \bar{X}_2 \to Y$ is the map with $h(x) = h_1(x)$ for $x \in X_1$ and $h(x) = h_2(\varphi(x))$ for $x \in \bar{X}_2$. This proves that $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$ is effectively closed under union.

It remains to be shown that $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$ is Presburger closed. Suppose $L \in \mathcal{C}$, $L \subseteq X^*$, $S \subseteq X^\oplus$ is semilinear, $h\colon X^* \to Y^*$ is a morphism, and $T \subseteq Y^\oplus$ is another semilinear set. Let $\varphi\colon X^\oplus \to Y^\oplus$ be the morphism with $\varphi(\Psi(w)) = \Psi(h(w))$ for every $w \in X^*$. Moreover, consider the set

$$T' = \{\mu \in X^\oplus \mid \varphi(w) \in T\} = \{\Psi(w) \mid w \in X^*,\ \Psi(h(w)) \in T\}.$$

It is clearly Presburger definable in terms of T and hence effectively semilinear. Furthermore, we have

$$h(L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)) \cap \Psi^{-1}(T) = h(L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S \cap T')).$$

This proves that $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$ is effectively Presburger closed. ◀

Proof of Proposition 5. Proposition 5 follows from Propositions 29 and 30. The uniform algorithm recursively applies the transformations described therein. ◀

D Proof of Proposition 3

▶ **Proposition 31.** *If $\mathcal{C}$ is semilinear, then so is $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{C}$ is effectively semilinear, then so is $\mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Since morphisms effectively preserve semilinearity, it suffices to show that $\Psi(L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S))$ is (effectively) semilinear for each $L \in \mathcal{C}$, $L \subseteq X^*$, and semilinear $S \subseteq X^\oplus$. This, however, is easy to see since $\Psi(L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)) = \Psi(L) \cap S$ and the semilinear subsets of $X^\oplus$ are closed under intersection (they coincide with the Presburger definable sets). Furthermore, if a semilinear representation of $\Psi(L)$ can be computed, this is also the case for $\Psi(L) \cap S$. ◀

Proof of Proposition 3. The semilinearity follows from Proposition 31 and a result by van Leeuwen [10], stating that if $\mathcal{C}$ is semilinear, then so is $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$.

The computation of (semilinear representations of) Parikh images can be done recursively. The procedure in Proposition 31 describes the computation for languages in F_i. In order to compute the Parikh image of a language in $\mathsf{G}_i = \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$, consider an F_i-grammar G. Replacing each right-hand side by a Parikh equivalent regular language yields a REG-grammar G' that is Parikh equivalent to G. Since G' is effectively context-free, one can compute the Parikh image for G'. ◀

E Simple constructions of PAIM

This section contains simple lemmas for the construction of PAIM.

▶ **Lemma 32** (Unions). *Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and languages $L_0, L_1 \in \mathsf{G}_i$, along with a PAIM in G_i for each of them, one can construct a PAIM for $L_0 \cup L_1$ in G_i.*

Proof. One can find a PAIM $(K^{(i)}, C^{(i)}, P^{(i)}, (P_c^{(i)})_{c\in C^{(i)}}, \varphi^{(i)}, \diamond)$ for L_i in $\mathcal{C}$ for $i = 0, 1$ such that $C^{(0)} \cap C^{(1)} = P^{(0)} \cap P^{(1)} = \emptyset$. Then $K = K^{(0)} \cup K^{(1)}$ is effectively contained in G_i and can be turned into a PAIM $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in c}, \varphi, \diamond)$ for $L_0 \cup L_1$. ◀

▶ **Lemma 33** (Homomorphic images). *Let $h\colon X^* \to Y^*$ be a morphism. Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a PAIM for $L \in \mathsf{G}_i$ in G_i, one can construct a PAIM for $h(L)$ in G_i.*

Proof. Let $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ be a PAIM for L and let $\bar{h}\colon X^\oplus \to Y^\oplus$ be the morphism with $\bar{h}(x) = \Psi(h(x))$ for $x \in X$. Define the new morphism $\varphi'\colon (C \cup P)^\oplus \to Y^\oplus$ by $\varphi'(\mu) = \bar{h}(\varphi(\mu))$. Moreover, let $g\colon (C \cup X \cup P \cup \{\diamond\})^* \to (C \cup Y \cup P \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ be the extension of h that fixes $C \cup P \cup \{\diamond\}$. Then $(g(K), C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi', \diamond)$ is clearly a PAIM for $h(L)$ in G_i. ◀

▶ **Lemma 34** (Linear decomposition). *Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L \in \mathsf{G}_i$ along with a PAIM in G_i, one can construct $L_1, \ldots, L_n \in \mathsf{G}_i$, each together with a linear PAIM in G_i, such that $L = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n$.*

Proof. Let $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ be a PAIM for $L \subseteq X^*$. For each $c \in C$, let $K_c = K \cap c(X \cup P \cup \{\diamond\})^*$. Then $(K_c, \{c\}, P_c, P_c, \varphi_c, \diamond)$, where φ_c is the restriction of φ to $(\{c\} \cup P_c)^\oplus$, is a PAIM for $\pi_X(K_c)$ in G_i. Furthermore, $L = \bigcup_{c\in C} \pi_X(K_c)$. ◀

▶ **Lemma 35** (Presence check). *Let X be an alphabet and $x \in X$. Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a PAIM for $L \subseteq X^*$ in G_i, one can construct a PAIM for $L \cap X^*xX^*$ in G_i.*

Proof. Since

$$(L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n) \cap X^*xX^* = (L_1 \cap X^*xX^*) \cup \cdots \cup (L_n \cap X^*xX^*),$$

Lemma 34 and Lemma 32 imply that we may assume that the PAIM $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ for L is linear, say $C = \{c\}$ and $P = P_c$. Since in the case $\varphi(c)(x) \geq 1$, we have $L \cap X^*xX^* = L$ and there is nothing to do, we assume $\varphi(c)(x) = 0$.

Let $C' = \{(c, p) \mid p \in P, \varphi(p)(x) \geq 1\}$ be a new alphabet and let

$$K' = \{(c, p)uv \mid (c, p) \in C',\ u, v \in (X \cup P \cup \{\diamond\})^*,\ cupv \in K\}.$$

Note that K' can clearly be obtained from K by way of a rational transduction and is therefore contained in G_i. Furthermore, we let $P' = P'_{(c,p)} = P$ and $\varphi'((c, p)) = \varphi(c) + \varphi(p)$ for $(c, p) \in C'$ and $\varphi'(p) = \varphi(p)$ for $p \in P$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_X(K') &= \{\pi_X(w) \mid w \in K,\ \exists p \in P : \varphi(\pi_{C\cup P}(w))(p) \geq 1, \varphi(p)(x) \geq 1\} \\ &= \{\pi_X(w) \mid w \in K,\ |\pi_X(w)|_x \geq 1\} = L \cap X^*xX^*.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the projection property. For each $(c, p)uv \in K'$ with $cupv \in K$, we have

$$\varphi'(\pi_{C'\cup P'}((c, p)uv)) = \varphi(\pi_{C\cup P}(cupv)) = \Psi(\pi_X(cupv)) = \Psi(\pi_X((c, p)uv)).$$

and thus $\varphi'(\pi_{C'\cup P'}(w)) = \Psi(\pi_X(w))$ for every $w \in K'$. Hence, we have established the counting property. Moreover,

$$\Psi(\pi_{C'\cup P'}(K')) = \bigcup_{p\in P}(c,p) + P'^{\oplus},$$

meaning the commutative projection property is satisfied as well. This proves that the tuple $(\pi_{C\cup X\cup P}(K'), C', P', (P'_d)_{d\in C'}, \varphi')$ is a Parikh annotation for $L \cap X^*xX^*$ in G_i. Since $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ is a PAIM for L, it follows that $(K', C', P', (P'_d)_{d\in C'}, \varphi', \diamond)$ is a PAIM for $L \cap X^*xX^*$. ◀

▶ **Lemma 36** (Absence check). *Let X be an alphabet and $x \in X$. Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a PAIM for $L \subseteq X^*$ in G_i, one can construct a PAIM for $L \setminus X^*xX^*$ in G_i.*

Proof. Since

$$(L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n) \setminus X^*xX^* = (L_1 \setminus X^*xX^*) \cup \cdots \cup (L_n \setminus X^*xX^*),$$

Lemma 34 and Lemma 32 imply that we may assume that the PAIM $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ for L is linear, say $C = \{c\}$ and $P = P_c$. Since in the case $\varphi(c)(x) \geq 1$, we have $L \setminus X^*xX^* = \emptyset$ and there is nothing to do, we assume $\varphi(c)(x) = 0$.

Let $C' = C$, $P' = P'_c = \{p \in P \mid \varphi(p)(x) = 0\}$, and let

$$K' = \{w \in K \mid |w|_p = 0 \text{ for each } p \in P \setminus P'\}.$$

Furthermore, we let φ' be the restriction of φ to $(C'\cup P')^{\oplus}$. Then clearly $(K', C', (P'_c)_{c\in C'}, \varphi', \diamond)$ is a PAIM for $L \setminus X^*xX^*$ in G_i. ◀

F Proof of Lemma 11

Proof. First, observe that there is at most one G-compatible extension: For each $A \in N$, there is a $u \in T^*$ with $A \Rightarrow_G^* u$ and hence $\hat{\psi}(A) = \psi(u)$.

In order to prove existence, we claim that for each $A \in N$ and $A \Rightarrow_G^* u$ and $A \Rightarrow_G^* v$ for $u, v \in T^*$, we have $\psi(u) = \psi(v)$. Indeed, since G is reduced, there are $x, y \in T^*$ with $S \Rightarrow_G^* xAy$. Then xuy and xvy are both in $\mathsf{L}(G)$ and hence $\psi(xuy) = \psi(xvy) = h$. In the group H, this implies

$$\psi(u) = \psi(x)^{-1}h\psi(y)^{-1} = \psi(v).$$

This means a G-compatible extension exists: Setting $\hat{\psi}(A) = \psi(w)$ for some $w \in T^*$ with $A \Rightarrow_G^* w$ does not depend on the chosen w. This definition implies that whenever $u \Rightarrow_G^* v$ for $u \in (N \cup T)^*$, $v \in T^*$, we have $\hat{\psi}(u) = \hat{\psi}(v)$. Therefore, if $u \Rightarrow_G^* v$ for $u, v \in (N \cup T)^*$, picking a $w \in T^*$ with $v \Rightarrow_G^* w$ yields $\hat{\psi}(u) = \hat{\psi}(w) = \hat{\psi}(v)$. Hence, $\hat{\psi}$ is G-compatible.

Now suppose $H = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathsf{F}_i$. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is commutative, ψ is well-defined on $T^{\oplus}$, meaning there is a morphism $\bar{\psi}\colon T^{\oplus} \to \mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{\psi}(\Psi(w)) = \psi(w)$ for $w \in T^*$. We can therefore determine $\hat{\psi}(A)$ by computing a semilinear representation of the Parikh image of $K = \{w \in T^* \mid A \Rightarrow_G^* w\} \in \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$ (see Proposition 3), picking an element $\mu \in \Psi(K)$, and compute $\hat{\psi}(A) = \bar{\psi}(\mu)$. ◀

G Proof of Lemma 12

Proof. Let $G = (N, X, P, S)$ and let $\delta\colon X^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the morphism with $\delta(w) = \gamma_0(\pi_{X_0}(w)) - \gamma_1(\pi_{X_1}(w))$ for $w \in X^*$. Since then $\delta(w) = 0$ for every $w \in \mathsf{L}(G)$, by Lemma 11, δ extends uniquely to a G-compatible $\hat{\delta}\colon (N \cup X)^* \to \mathbb{Z}$. We claim that with $k = \max\{|\hat{\delta}(A)| \mid A \in N\}$, each derivation tree of G admits a k-matching.

Consider an $(N \cup X)$-tree t and let L_i be the set of X_i-labeled leaves. Let A be an arrow collection for t and let $d_A(\ell)$ be the number of arrows incident to $\ell \in L_0 \cup L_1$. Moreover, let $\lambda(\ell)$ be the label of the leaf ℓ and let

$$\beta(t) = \sum_{\ell \in L_0} \gamma_0(\lambda(\ell)) - \sum_{\ell \in L_1} \gamma_1(\lambda(\ell)).$$

A is a *partial k-matching* if the following holds:

1. if $\beta(t) \geq 0$, then $d_A(\ell) \leq \gamma_0(\lambda(\ell))$ for each $\ell \in L_0$ and $d_A(\ell) = \gamma_1(\lambda(\ell)))$ for each $\ell \in L_1$.
2. if $\beta(t) \leq 0$, then $d_A(\ell) \leq \gamma_1(\lambda(\ell))$ for each $\ell \in L_1$ and $d_A(\ell) = \gamma_0(\lambda(\ell)))$ for each $\ell \in L_0$.
3. $d_A(s) \leq k$ for every subtree s of t.

Hence, while in a k-matching the number $\gamma_i(\lambda(\ell))$ is the degree of ℓ (with respect to the matching), it is merely a capacity in a partial k-matching. The first two conditions express that either all leaves in L_0 or all in L_1 (or both) are filled up to capacity, depending on which of the two sets of leaves has less (total) capacity.

If t is a derivation tree of G, then $\beta(t) = 0$ and hence a partial k-matching is already a k-matching. Therefore, we show by induction on n that every derivation subtree of height n admits a partial k-matching. This is trivial for $n = 0$ and for $n > 0$, consider a derivation subtree t with direct subtrees $s_1, \ldots, s_r$. Let B be the label of t's root and $B_j \in N \cup X$ be the label of s_j's root. Then $\hat{\delta}(B) = \beta(t)$, $\hat{\delta}(B_j) = \beta(s_j)$ and $\beta(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \beta(s_j)$. By induction, each s_j admits a partial k-matching A_j. Let A be the union of the A_j. Observe that since $\sum_{\ell \in L_0} d_A(\ell) = \sum_{\ell \in L_1} d_A(\ell)$ in every arrow collection (each side equals the number of arrows), we have

$$\beta(t) = \underbrace{\sum_{\ell \in L_0} (\gamma_0(\lambda(\ell)) - d_A(\ell))}_{=:p \geq 0} - \underbrace{\sum_{\ell \in L_1} (\gamma_1(\lambda(\ell)) - d_A(\ell))}_{=:q \geq 0}. \tag{9}$$

If $\beta(t) \geq 0$ and hence $p \geq q$, this equation allows us to obtain A' from A by adding q arrows, such that each $\ell \in L_1$ has $\gamma_1(\lambda(\ell)) - d_A(\ell)$ new incident arrows. They are connected to X_0-leaves so as to maintain $\gamma_0(\ell) - d_{A'}(\ell) \geq 0$. Symmetrically, if $\beta(t) \leq 0$ and hence $p \leq q$, we add p arrows such that each $\ell \in L_0$ has $\gamma_0(\lambda(\ell)) - d_A(\ell)$ new incident arrows. They also are connected to X_1-leaves so as to maintain $\gamma_1(\lambda(\ell)) - d_{A'}(\ell) \geq 0$. Then by construction, A' satisfies the first two conditions of a partial k-matching. Hence, it remains to be shown that the third is fulfilled as well.

Since for each j, we have either $d_A(\ell) = \gamma_0(\lambda(\ell))$ for all $\ell \in L_0 \cap s_j$ or we have $d_A(\ell) = \gamma_1(\lambda(\ell))$ for all $\ell \in L_1 \cap s_j$, none of the new arrows can connect two leaves inside of s_j. This means the s_j are the only subtrees for which we have to verify the third condition, which amounts to checking that $d_{A'}(s_j) \leq k$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. As in Eq. (9), we have

$$\beta(s_j) = \underbrace{\sum_{\ell \in L_0 \cap s_j} (\gamma_0(\lambda(\ell)) - d_A(\ell))}_{=:u \geq 0} - \underbrace{\sum_{\ell \in L_1 \cap s_j} (\gamma_1(\lambda(\ell)) - d_A(\ell))}_{=:v \geq 0}.$$

Since the arrows added in A' have respected the capacity of each leaf, we have $d_{A'}(s_j) \leq u$ and $d_{A'}(s_j) \leq v$. Moreover, since A_j is a partial k-matching, we have $u = 0$ or $v = 0$. In any case, we have $d_{A'}(s_j) \leq |u - v| = |\beta(s_j)| = |\hat{\delta}(B_j)| \leq k$, proving the third condition. ◀

H Proof of Lemma 14

▶ **Lemma 37.** *Given an F_i-grammar, one can compute an equivalent reduced F_i-grammar.*

Proof. Since F_i is a Presburger closed semi-trio and has a decidable emptiness problem, we can proceed as follows. First, we compute the set of productive nonterminals. We initialize $N_0 = \emptyset$ and then successively compute

$$N_{i+1} = \{A \in N \mid L \cap (N_i \cup T)^* \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } A \to L \text{ in } P\}.$$

Then at some point, $N_{i+1} = N_i$ and N_i contains precisely the productive nonterminals. Using a similar method, one can compute the set of productive nonterminals. Hence, one can compute the set $N' \subseteq N$ of nonterminals that are reachable and productive. The new grammar is then obtained by replacing each production $A \to L$ with $A \to (L \cap (N' \cup T)^*)$ and removing all productions $A \to L$ where $A \notin N'$. ◀

Proof of Lemma 14. In light of Lemma 33, it clearly suffices to prove the statement in the case that there are $a \in Z$ and $b \in Z'$ with $Z' = Z \cup \{b\}$, $b \notin Z$ and $\sigma(x) = \{x\}$ for $x \in Z \setminus \{a\}$ and $\sigma(a) = \{a, b\}$. Let $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c \in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ be a PAIM for L in G_i. According to Lemma 37, we can assume K to be given by a reduced F_i-grammar.

We want to use Proposition 13 to construct a PAIM for $\sigma(L)$. Let $X_0 = Z \cup \{\diamond\}$, $X_1 = C \cup P$, and $\gamma_i \colon X_i^* \to \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 0, 1$ be the morphisms with

$$\gamma_0(w) = |w|_a, \qquad \gamma_1(w) = \varphi(w)(a).$$

Then, by the counting property of PAIM, we have $\gamma_0(w) = \gamma_1(w)$ for each $w \in K$. Let Y, h and Y_i, h_i, η_i be defined as in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2). Proposition 13 allows us to construct $\hat{K} \in \mathsf{G}_i$, $\hat{K} \subseteq Y^*$, with $\hat{K} \subseteq h^{-1}(K)$, $\pi_{X_i}(\hat{K}) = \pi_{X_i}(h^{-1}(K))$ for $i = 0, 1$, and $\eta_0(\pi_{X_0}(w)) = \eta_1(\pi_{X_1}(w))$ for each $w \in \hat{K}$.

For each $f \in C \cup P$, let $D_f = \{(f', m) \in Y_1 \mid f' = f\}$. With this, let $C' = \bigcup_{c \in C} D_c$, $P' = \bigcup_{p \in P} D_p$, and $P'_{(c,m)} = \bigcup_{p \in P_c} D_p$ for $(c, m) \in C'$. The new morphism $\varphi' \colon (C' \cup P')^{\oplus} \to Z'^{\oplus}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi'((f,m))(z) = \varphi(f)(z) && \text{for } z \in Z \setminus \{a\},\\
&\varphi'((f,m))(b) = m,\\
&\varphi'((f,m))(a) = \varphi(f)(a) - m.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $g \colon Y^* \to (C' \cup Z' \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ be the morphism with $g((z,0)) = z$ for $z \in Z$, $g((a,1)) = b$, $g(x) = x$ for $x \in C' \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\}$. We claim that with $K' = g(\hat{K})$, the tuple $(K', C', P', (P'_c)_{c \in C'}, \varphi', \diamond)$ is a PAIM for $\sigma(L)$. First, note that $K' \in \mathsf{G}_i$ and

$$K' = g(\hat{K}) \subseteq g(h^{-1}(K)) \subseteq g(h^{-1}(C(Z \cup P)^*)) \subseteq C'(Z' \cup P')^*.$$

Note that g is bijective. This allows us to define $f \colon (C' \cup Z' \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^* \to (C \cup Z \cup P \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ as the morphism with $f(w) = h(g^{-1}(w))$ for all w. Observe that then $f(a) = f(b) = a$ and $f(z) = z$ for $z \in Z \setminus \{a, b\}$ and by the definition of K', we have $f(K') \subseteq K$ and $\sigma(L) = f^{-1}(L)$.

- *Projection property.* Note that $\pi_{Y_0}(u) = \pi_{Y_0}(v)$ implies $\pi_{Z'}(g(u)) = \pi_{Z'}(g(v))$ for $u, v \in Y^*$. Thus, from $\pi_{Y_0}(\hat{K}) = \pi_{Y_0}(h^{-1}(K))$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{Z'}(K') &= \pi_{Z'}(g(\hat{K})) = \pi_{Z'}(g(h^{-1}(K))) \\ &= \pi_{Z'}(f^{-1}(K)) = f^{-1}(L) = \sigma(L).\end{aligned}$$

- *Counting property.* Note that by the definition of φ' and g, we have

$$\varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(x))(b) = \eta_1(x) = \eta_1(g^{-1}(x)) \tag{10}$$

for every $x \in C' \cup P'$.
For $w \in K'$, we have $f(w) \in K$ and hence $\varphi(\pi_{C \cup P}(f(w))) = \Psi(\pi_Z(f(w)))$. Since for $z \in Z \setminus \{a\}$, we have $\varphi'(x)(z) = \varphi(f(x))(z)$ for every $x \in C' \cup P'$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w))(z) &= \varphi(\pi_{C \cup P}(f(w)))(z) \\ &= \Psi(\pi_Z(f(w)))(z) = \Psi(\pi_{Z'}(w))(z).\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Moreover, by (10) and since $g^{-1}(w) \in \hat{K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w))(b) &= \eta_1(g^{-1}(w)) = \eta_0(g^{-1}(w)) = |w|_b \\ &= \Psi(\pi_{Z'}(w))(b).\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and $f(w) \in K$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w))(a) + \varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w))(b) &= \varphi(\pi_{C \cup P}(f(w)))(a) \\ &= \Psi(\pi_Z(f(w)))(a) \\ &= \Psi(\pi_{Z'}(w))(a) + \Psi(\pi_{Z'}(w))(b).\end{aligned}$$

Together with (12), this implies $\varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w))(a) = \Psi(\pi_{Z'}(w))(a)$. Combining this with (11) and (12), we obtain $\varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w)) = \Psi(\pi_{Z'}(w))$. This proves the counting property.
- *Commutative projection property.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(K')) &= \Psi(\pi_{Y_1}(\hat{K})) = \Psi(\pi_{Y_1}(h^{-1}(K))) \\ &= \Psi(h^{-1}(\pi_{C \cup P}(K))) = \bigcup_{c \in C'} c + P_c'^{\oplus}.\end{aligned}$$

- *Boundedness.* Since $|w|_\diamond = |h(v)|_\diamond$ for each $w \in K'$ with $w = g(v)$, there is a constant bounding $|w|_\diamond$ for $w \in K'$.
- *Insertion property.* Let $cw \in K'$ with $c \in C'$ and $\mu \in P_c'^{\oplus}$. Then $f(\mu) \in P_{f(c)}^{\oplus}$ and $f(cw) \in K$. Write

$$\pi_{Z' \cup \{\diamond\}}(cw) = w_0 \diamond w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_n$$

with $w_0, \ldots, w_n \in Z'^*$. Then

$$\pi_{Z \cup \{\diamond\}}(f(cw)) = f(\pi_{Z' \cup \{\diamond\}}(cw)) = f(w_0) \diamond \cdots \diamond f(w_n).$$

By the insertion property of K and since $f(cw) \in K$, there is a $v \in K$ with

$$\pi_Z(v) = f(w_0)v_1 f(w_1) \cdots v_n f(w_n),$$

$v_1, \ldots, v_n \in Z^*$, and $\Psi(\pi_Z(v)) = \Psi(\pi_Z(f(cw))) + \varphi(f(\mu))$. In particular, we have $\Psi(v_1 \cdots v_n) = \varphi(f(\mu))$. Note that $\varphi'(\mu) \in Z'^{\oplus}$ is obtained from $\varphi(f(\mu)) \in Z^{\oplus}$ by

replacing some occurrences of a by b. Thus, by the definition of f, we can find words $v'_1, \ldots, v'_n \in Z'^*$ with $f(v'_i) = v_i$ and $\Psi(v'_1 \cdots v'_n) = \varphi'(\mu)$. Then the word

$$w' = w_0 v'_1 w_1 \cdots v'_n w_n \in Z'^*$$

statisfies $\pi_{Z' \cup \{\diamond\}}(cw) \preceq_\diamond w'$, $\Psi(w') = \Psi(\pi_{Z'}(cw)) + \varphi'(\mu)$ and

$$f(w') = f(w_0)v_1 f(w_1) \cdots v_n f(w_n) = \pi_Z(v) \in \pi_Z(K) = L.$$

Since $f^{-1}(L) = \sigma(L)$, this means $w' \in \sigma(L)$. We have thus established the insertion property.

We conclude that the tuple $(K', C', P', (P'_c)_{c \in C'}, \varphi', \diamond)$ is a PAIM in G_i for $\sigma(L)$. ◀

I Proof of Lemma 15

Proof. Let $\sigma\colon X^* \to \mathcal{P}(Y^*)$. Assuming that for some $a \in X$, we have $\sigma(x) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{a\}$ means no loss of generality. According to Lemma 33, we may also assume that $\sigma(a) \subseteq Z^*$ for some alphabet Z with $Y = X \uplus Z$. If $\sigma(a) = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n$, then first substituting a by $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ and then each a_i by L_i has the same effect as applying σ. Hence, Lemma 14 allows us to assume further that the PAIM given for $\sigma(a)$ is linear. Finally, since $\sigma(L) = (L \setminus X^* a X^*) \cup \sigma(L \cap X^* a X^*)$, Lemmas 32, 35 and 36 imply that we may also assume $L \subseteq X^* a X^*$.

Let $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c \in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ be a PAIM for L and $(\hat{K}, \hat{c}, \hat{P}, \hat{\varphi}, \diamond)$ be a linear PAIM for $\sigma(a)$. The idea of the construction is to replace each occurrence of a in K by words from $\hat{K}$ after removing $\hat{c}$. However, in order to guarantee a finite bound for the number of occurrences of $\diamond$ in the resulting words, we also remove $\diamond$ from all but one inserted words from $\hat{K}$. The new map φ' is then set up to so that if $f \in C \cup P$ represented m occurrences of a, then $\varphi'(f)$ will represent m times $\hat{\varphi}(\hat{c})$.

Let $C' = C$, $P'_c = P_c \cup \hat{P}$, $P' = \bigcup_{c \in C'} P'_c$, and $\varphi'\colon (C' \cup P')^\oplus \to Y^\oplus$ be the morphism with

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(f) &= \varphi(f) \;-\; \varphi(f)(a) \cdot a \;+\; \varphi(f)(a) \cdot \hat{\varphi}(\hat{c}) && \text{for } f \in C \cup P, \\ \varphi'(f) &= \hat{\varphi}(f) && \text{for } f \in \hat{P}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a_\diamond$ be a new symbol and

$$\bar{K} = \{u a_\diamond v \mid uav \in K,\ |u|_a = 0\}.$$

In other words, $\bar{K}$ is obtained by replacing in each word from K the first occurrence of a with $a_\diamond$. The occurrence of $a_\diamond$ will be the one that is replaced by all of $\hat{K}$, the occurrences of a are replaced by $\pi_{\{\hat{c}\} \cup Z \cup \hat{P}}(\hat{K})$. Let τ be the substitution

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\colon (C \cup X \cup P \cup \{\diamond, a_\diamond\})^* &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}((C' \cup Z \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^*) \\ x &\longmapsto \{x\}, \quad \text{for } x \in C \cup X \cup P \cup \{\diamond\},\ x \neq a, \\ a_\diamond &\longmapsto \pi_{Z \cup \hat{P} \cup \{\diamond\}}(\hat{K}), \\ a &\longmapsto \pi_{Z \cup \hat{P}}(\hat{K}). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that with $K' = \tau(\bar{K})$, the tuple $(K', C', P', (P'_c)_{c \in C'}, \varphi', \diamond)$ is a PAIM in G_i for $\sigma(L)$. First, since G_i is closed under rational transductions and substitutions, K' is in G_i.

- *Projection property.* Since $L = \pi_X(K)$ and $\sigma(a) = \pi_Z(\hat{K})$, we have $\sigma(L) = \pi_Z(K')$.

- *Counting property.* Let $w \in K'$. Then there is a $u = cu_0au_1 \cdots au_n \in K$, $u_i \in (C \cup X \cup P \cup \{\diamond\})^*$, $c \in C$, and $|u_i|_a = 0$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$ and $w = cu_0w_1u_1 \cdots w_nu_n$ with $w_1 \in \pi_{Z \cup \hat{P} \cup \{\diamond\}}(\hat{K})$, $w_i \in \pi_{Z \cup \hat{P}}(\hat{K})$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. This means

$$\Psi(\pi_Z(w_i)) = \hat{\varphi}(\hat{c}) + \hat{\varphi}(\pi_{\hat{P}}(w_i)). \tag{13}$$

Since $\varphi(\pi_{C \cup P}(u))(a) = \Psi(\pi_X(u)) = n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(u)) &= \varphi(\pi_{C \cup P}(u)) - n \cdot a + n \cdot \hat{\varphi}(\hat{c}) \\ &= \Psi(\pi_X(u)) - n \cdot a + n \cdot \hat{\varphi}(\hat{c}). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Equations (13) and (14) together imply

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w)) &= \varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(u)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi'(\pi_{P'}(w_i)) \\ &= \Psi(\pi_X(u)) - n \cdot a + n \cdot \hat{\varphi}(\hat{c}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Psi(\pi_Z(w_i)) - \hat{\varphi}(\hat{c})) \\ &= \Psi(\pi_X(u)) - n \cdot a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi(\pi_Z(w_i)) = \Psi(\pi_Z(w)). \end{aligned}$$

- *Commutative projection property.* Let $c \in C'$ and $\mu \in P_c'^{\oplus}$ and write $\mu = \nu + \hat{\nu}$ with $\nu \in P_c^{\oplus}$ and $\hat{\nu} \in \hat{P}^{\oplus}$. Then there is a $cw \in K$ with $\Psi(\pi_{C \cup P}(cw)) = c + \nu$. Since $L \subseteq X^*aX^*$, we can write $w = cu_0au_1 \cdots au_n$ with $|u_i|_a = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $n \geq 1$. Moreover, there are $\hat{c}\hat{w} \in \hat{K}$ and $\hat{c}\hat{w}' \in \hat{K}$ with $\Psi(\pi_{\{\hat{c} \cup \hat{P}}(\hat{c}\hat{w})) = \hat{c} + \hat{\nu}$ and $\Psi(\pi_{\hat{c} \cup \hat{P}}(\hat{c}\hat{w}')) = \hat{c}$. By definition of K', the word

$$w' = cu_0\hat{w}u_1\hat{w}'u_2 \cdots \hat{w}'u_n$$

is in K' and satisfies $\Psi(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w')) = c + \nu + \hat{\nu} = c + \mu$. This proves

$$\bigcup_{c \in C'} c + P_c'^{\oplus} \subseteq \Psi(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(K')).$$

The other inclusion is clear by definition. We have thus established that the tuple $(\pi_{C' \cup Z \cup P'}(K'), C', P', (P_c')_{c \in C'}, \varphi')$ is a Parikh annotation in G_i for $\sigma(L)$.

- *Boundedness.* Note that if $|w|_\diamond \leq k$ for all $w \in K$ and $|\hat{w}|_\diamond \leq \ell$ for all $\hat{w} \in \hat{K}$, then $|w'|_\diamond \leq k + \ell$ for all $w' \in K'$ by construction of K', implying boundedness.
- *Insertion property.* The insertion property follows from the insertion property of K and $\hat{K}$.

◀

J Proof of Lemma 16

▶ **Lemma 38** (Sentential forms). *Let $G = (N, T, P, S)$ be an G_i-grammar with $N = \{S\}$, $P = \{S \to L\}$, and $L \subseteq (N \cup T)^*S(N \cup T)^*$. Furthermore, suppose a PAIM in G_i is given for L. Then one can construct a PAIM in G_i for $\mathsf{SF}(G)$.*

Proof. Observe that applying the production $S \to L$ with $w \in L$ contributes $\Psi(w) - S$ to the Parikh image of the sentential form. Therefore, we have $\Psi(\mathsf{SF}(G)) = S + (\Psi(L) - S)^{\oplus}$

and we can construct a PAIM for $\mathsf{SF}(G)$ using an idea to obtain a semilinear representation of $U^\oplus$ for semilinear sets U. If $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j + F_j^\oplus$ for $\mu_j \in X^\oplus$ and finite $F_j \subseteq X^\oplus$, then

$$U^\oplus = \bigcup_{D \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \sum_{j \in D} \mu_j + \left(\bigcup_{j \in D} \{\mu_j\} \cup F_j \right)^\oplus .$$

The symbols representing constant and period vectors for $\mathsf{SF}(G)$ are therefore set up as follows. Let $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c \in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ be a PAIM for L in G_i. and let S' and S_D and d_D be new symbols for each $D \subseteq C$. Moreover, let $C' = \{d_D \mid D \subseteq C\}$ and $P' = C \cup P$ with $P'_{d_D} = D \cup \bigcup_{c \in D} P_c$. We will use the shorthand $X = N \cup T$. Observe that since $L \subseteq X^* S X^*$, we have $\varphi(c)(S) \geq 1$ for each $c \in C$. We can therefore define the morphism $\varphi' \colon (C' \cup P')^\oplus \to X^\oplus$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(p) &= \varphi(p) && \text{for } p \in P, \\ \varphi'(c) &= \varphi(c) - S && \text{for } c \in C, && (15) \\ \varphi'(d_D) &= S + \sum_{c \in D} \varphi'(c). && && (16) \end{aligned}$$

The essential idea in our construction is to use modified versions of K as right-hand-sides of a grammar. These modified versions are obtained as follows. For each $D \subseteq C$, we define the rational transduction δ_D which maps each word $w_0 S w_1 \cdots S w_n \in (C \cup X \cup P \cup \{\diamond\})^*$, $|w_i|_S = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, to all words $w_0 S_{D_1} w_1 \cdots S_{D_n} w_n$ for which

$$D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_n = D, \qquad D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Thus, δ_D can be thought of as distributing the elements of D among the occurrences of S in the input word. The modified versions of K are then given by

$$K_D = \delta_D(\pi_{C \cup X \cup P}(K)), \qquad K_D^c = \delta_{D \setminus c}(c^{-1} K).$$

In the new annotation, the symbol d_D represents $S + \sum_{c \in D} (\varphi(c) - S)$. Since each symbol $c \in C$ still represents $\varphi(c) - S$, we cannot insert a whole word from K for each inserted word from L: This would insert a $c \in C$ in each step and we would count $\sum_{c \in D} (\varphi(c) - S)$ twice. Hence, in order to compensate for the new constant symbol d_D, when generating a word starting with d_D, we have to prevent exactly one occurrence of c for each $c \in D$ from appearing. To this end, we use the nonterminal S_D, which only allows derivation subtrees in which of each $c \in D$, precisely one occurrence has been left out, i.e. a production $S_D \to K_D^c$ (for some $D \subseteq C$) has been applied. In the productions $S_D \to K_D$ the symbol from C on the right hand side is allowed to appear.

In order to have only a bounded number of occurrences of $\diamond$, one of our modified versions of K (namely K_D^c) introduces $\diamond$ and the other one (K_D) does not. Since when generating a word starting with d_D, our grammar makes sure that for each $c \in D$, a production of the form $S_E \to K_E^c$ is used precisely once (and otherwise $S_E \to K_E$), the set K_E^c is set up to contain $\diamond$. This will guarantee that during the insertion process simulating $S \to L$, we insert at most $|C| \cdot \ell$ occurrences of $\diamond$, where ℓ is an upper bound for $|w|_\diamond$ for $w \in K$.

Let $N' = \{S'\} \cup \{S_D \mid D \subseteq C\}$ and let $\hat{P}$ consist of the following productions:

$$\begin{aligned} S' &\to \{d_D \diamond S_D \diamond \mid D \subseteq C\} && (17) \\ S_\emptyset &\to \{S\} && (18) \\ S_D &\to K_D && \text{for each } D \subseteq C && (19) \\ S_D &\to K_D^c && \text{for each } D \subseteq C \text{ and } c \in D. && (20) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let M be the regular language

$$M = \bigcup_{D \subseteq C} \{w \in (C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^* \mid \pi_{C' \cup P'}(w) \in d_D P'^*_{d_D}\}.$$

By intersecting with M, we make sure that the commutative projection property is satisfied. We shall prove that with the grammar $G' = (N', C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\}, \hat{P}, S')$ and $K' = \mathsf{L}(G') \cap M$, the tuple $(K', C', P', (P'_c)_{c \in C'}, \varphi', \diamond)$ is a PAIM for $\mathsf{SF}(G)$ in G_i. By definition, $\mathsf{L}(G')$ is contained in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{G}_i) = \mathsf{G}_i$ and hence K' since G_i is a full semi-AFL.

Let $h\colon (N' \cup C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^* \to (C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ be the morphism that fixes $C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\}$ and satisfies $h(S') = h(S_D) = S$ for $D \subseteq C$. Moreover, regard $\mathcal{P}(C)$ as a monoid with $\cup$ as its operation. Then $\rho\colon (N' \cup X)^* \to \mathcal{P}(C)$ is the morphism with $\rho(S_D) = D$ and $\rho(S') = \rho(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \in X$. Furthermore, let $|w|_\diamond \leq \ell$ for all $w \in K$. We claim that for each $n \geq 0$, $d_D \diamond S_D \diamond \Rightarrow^n_{G'} w$ implies

1. if $w = u_0 S_{D_1} u_1 \cdots S_{D_n} u_n$ with $u_i \in X^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, then $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.
2. $h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(w)) \in \mathsf{SF}(G)$,
3. $\varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w)) = \Psi(h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(w))) + \sum_{c \in \rho(w)} \varphi'(c)$,
4. $|w|_\diamond \leq 2 + |D \setminus \rho(w)| \cdot \ell$, and
5. for each $\mu \in \left(D \cup \bigcup_{c \in D \setminus \rho(w)} P_c\right)^\oplus$, there is a $w' \in \mathsf{SF}(G)$ such that $h(\pi_{N' \cup X \cup \{\diamond\}}(w)) \preceq_\diamond w'$ and $\Psi(w') = \Psi(h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(w))) + \varphi'(\mu)$.

We establish this claim using induction on n. Observe that all these conditions are satisfied in the case $n = 0$, i.e. $w = d_D \diamond S_D \diamond$, Items 1 to 4 follow directly by distinguishing among the productions in G'. Therefore, we only prove Item 5 in the induction step.

Suppose $n > 0$ and $d_D \diamond S_D \diamond \Rightarrow^{n-1}_{G'} \bar{w} \Rightarrow_{G'} w$. If the production applied in $\bar{w} \Rightarrow_{G'} w$ is $S_\emptyset \to \{S\}$, then $\rho(w) = \rho(\bar{w})$ and $h(\pi_{N' \cup X \cup \{\diamond\}})(w) = h(\pi_{N' \cup X \cup \{\diamond\}})(\bar{w})$, so that Item 5 follows immediately from the same condition for $\bar{w}$. If the applied production is of the form (19) or (20), then we have $\rho(w) \subseteq \rho(\bar{w})$ and hence $\rho(\bar{w}) = \rho(w) \cup E$ for some $E \subseteq D$, $|E| \leq 1$. Then

$$\bigcup_{c \in D \setminus \rho(w)} P_c = \bigcup_{c \in D \setminus \rho(\bar{w})} P_c \cup \bigcup_{c \in E} P_c.$$

We can therefore decompose $\mu \in \left(D \cup \bigcup_{c \in D \setminus \rho(w)} P_c\right)^\oplus$ into $\mu = \bar{\mu} + \nu$ with $\bar{\mu} \in \left(D \cup \bigcup_{c \in D \setminus \rho(\bar{w})} P_c\right)^\oplus$ and $\nu \in \left(\bigcup_{c \in E} P_c\right)^\oplus$. By induction, we find a $\bar{w}' \in \mathsf{SF}(G)$ such that $h(\pi_{N' \cup X \cup \{\diamond\}}(\bar{w})) \preceq_\diamond \bar{w}'$ and $\Psi(\bar{w}') = \Psi(h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(\bar{w}))) + \varphi'(\bar{\mu})$. Let $\bar{w} = xSy$ be the decomposition facilitating the step $\bar{w} \Rightarrow_{G'} w$ and let $w = xzy$.

- If the production applied in $\bar{w} \Rightarrow_{G'} w$ is of the form (19). Then $\rho(w) = \rho(\bar{w})$ and hence $E = \emptyset$ and $\nu = 0$. Furthermore, $z \in K_F$ for some $F \subseteq C$. We define $z' = h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(z))$. Note that then $z' \in \pi_X(K) = L$ and $\Psi(z') = \Psi(\pi_X(z)) + \varphi'(\nu)$.
- If the production applied in $\bar{w} \Rightarrow_{G'} w$ is of the form (20). Then $z \in K^c_F$ for some $c \in F \subseteq C$ and thus $h(z) \in c^{-1}K$. This implies $\rho(\bar{w}) = \rho(w) \cup \{c\}$, $E = \{c\}$, and hence $\nu \in P^\oplus_c$. The insertion property of K provides a $z' \in L$ such that $\pi_{X \cup \{\diamond\}}(h(z)) \preceq_\diamond z'$ and $\Psi(z') = \Psi(\pi_X(h(z))) + \varphi(\nu) = \Psi(\pi_X(h(z))) + \varphi'(\nu)$.

In any case, we have

$$z' \in L, \qquad h(\pi_{N' \cup X \cup \{\diamond\}}(z)) \preceq_\diamond z', \qquad \Psi(z') = \Psi(h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(z))) + \varphi'(\nu).$$

Recall that $\bar{w} = xSy$ and $w = xzy$. Since $\bar{w} \preceq_\diamond \bar{w}'$, we can find x', y' with

$$\bar{w}' = x'Sy', \qquad h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(x)) \preceq_\diamond x', \qquad h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(y)) \preceq_\diamond y'.$$

Choose $w' = x'z'y'$. Then $\mathsf{SF}(G) \ni \bar{w}' \Rightarrow_G w'$ and thus $w' \in \mathsf{SF}(G)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\pi_{N' \cup X \cup \{\diamond\}}(w)) &= h(\pi_{N' \cup X \cup \{\diamond\}}(x)) h(\pi_{N' \cup X \cup \{\diamond\}}(z)) h(\pi_{N' \cup X \cup \{\diamond\}}(y)) \\ &\preceq_\diamond x'z'y' = w'. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, w' has the desired Parikh image:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(w') &= \Psi(\bar{w}') - S + \Psi(z') \\ &= \Psi(h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(\bar{w}))) + \varphi'(\bar{\mu}) - S + \Psi(z') \\ &= \Psi(h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(\bar{w}))) + \varphi'(\bar{\mu}) - S + \Psi(h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(z))) + \varphi'(\nu) \\ &= \Psi(h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(w))) + \varphi'(\bar{\mu}) + \varphi'(\nu) \\ &= \Psi(h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(w))) + \varphi'(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction step for Item 5.

We now use our claim to prove that we have indeed constructed a PAIM.

- *Projection property.* Our claim already entails $\pi_X(K') \subseteq \mathsf{SF}(G)$: For $w \in (C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ with $d_D \diamond S_D \diamond \Rightarrow^*_{G'} w$, we have $\pi_X(w) = h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(w)) \in \mathsf{SF}(G)$ by Item 2. In order to prove $\mathsf{SF}(G) \subseteq \pi_X(K')$, suppose $w \in \mathsf{SF}(G)$ and let t be a partial derivation tree for G with root label S and $\mathsf{yield}(t) = w$. Since $\mathsf{c}(x) \in L$ for each inner node x of t, we can find a $c_x w_x \in K$ with $\pi_X(c_x w_x) = \mathsf{c}(x)$. Then in particular $\mathsf{c}(x) \preceq c_x w_x$, meaning we can obtain a tree t' from t as follows: For each inner node x of t, add new leaves directly below x so as to have $c_x w_x$ as the new sequence of child labels of x. Note that the set of inner nodes of t' is identical to the one of t. Moreover, we have $\pi_X(\mathsf{yield}(t')) = w$.
  Let $D = \{c_x \mid x \text{ is an inner node in } t'\}$. We pick for each $c \in D$ exactly one inner node x in t' such that $c_x = c$; we denote the resulting set of nodes by R. We now obtain t'' from t' as follows: For each $x \in R$, we remove its c_x-labeled child; for each $x \notin R$, we remove all $\diamond$-labeled children. Note that again, the inner nodes of t'' are the same as in t and t'. Moreover, we still have $\pi_X(\mathsf{yield}(t'')) = w$.
  For each inner node x in t'', let $D_x = \{c_y \mid y \in R \text{ is below } x \text{ in } t''\}$. Note that in t, t', t'', every inner node has the label S. We obtain the tree t''' from t'' as follows. For each inner node x in t'', we replace its label S by S_{D_x}. Then we have $\pi_X(h(\mathsf{yield}(t'''))) = w$. Clearly, the root node of t''' is labeled S_D. Furthermore, the definition of K_E and K_E^c yields that t''' is a partial derivation tree for G'. Hence

  $$S' \quad \Rightarrow_{G'} \quad d_D \diamond S_D \diamond \quad \Rightarrow^*_{G'} \quad d_D \diamond \mathsf{yield}(t''') \diamond .$$

  Since in t''', every leaf has a label in $T \cup \{S_\emptyset\}$, we have $S' \Rightarrow^*_{G'} d_D \diamond h(\mathsf{yield}(t'''))\diamond$. This means $d_D \diamond h(\mathsf{yield}(t'''))\diamond \in \mathsf{L}(G')$. Furthermore, we clearly have $d_D \diamond h(\mathsf{yield}(t'''))\diamond \in M$ and since $\pi_X(d_D \diamond h(\mathsf{yield}(t'''))\diamond) = w$, this implies $w \in \pi_X(K')$.
- *Counting property.* Apply Item 3 in our claim to a word $w \in (C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ with $d_D \diamond S_D \diamond \Rightarrow^*_{G'} w$. Since $\rho(w) = \emptyset$ and $h(\pi_{N' \cup X}(w)) = \pi_X(w)$, this yields $\varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w)) = \Psi(\pi_X(w))$.
- *Commutative projection property.* Since $K' \subseteq M$, we clearly have $\Psi(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(K')) \subseteq \bigcup_{c \in C'} c + P_c'^{\oplus}$.
  For the other inclusion, let $D \subseteq C$ with $D = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$. Suppose $\mu \in \bigcup_{c \in C'} c + P_c'^{\oplus}$, $\mu = d_D + \nu + \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$ with $\nu \in D^\oplus$ and $\xi_i \in P_{c_i}^\oplus$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
  The commutative projection property of K allows us to choose for $1 \leq i \leq n$ words $u_i, v_i \in K$ such that

  $$\Psi(\pi_{C \cup P}(u_i)) = c_i, \qquad \Psi(\pi_{C \cup P}(v_i)) = c_i + \xi_i.$$

The words $v'_0, \dots, v'_n$ are constructed as follows. Let $v'_0 = d_D \diamond S\diamond$ and let v'_{i+1} be obtained from v'_i by replacing the first occurrence of S by $c_{i+1}^{-1} v_{i+1}$. Furthermore, let v''_i be obtained from v'_i by replacing the first occurrence of S by $S_{\{c_{i+1},\dots,c_n\}}$ and all other occurrences by $S_\emptyset$. Then clearly $d_D \diamond S_D\diamond = v''_0 \Rightarrow_{G'} \cdots \Rightarrow_{G'} v''_n$ and $v''_n \in (T \cup \{S_\emptyset\})^*$. Moreover, we have $\Psi(\pi_{C'\cup P'}(v''_n)) = d_D + \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$.
Let $g\colon X^* \to (T \cup \{S_\emptyset\})^*$ be the morphism with $g(S) = S_\emptyset$ and that fixes the elements of T. For a word $w \in (N' \cup X)^*$ that contains $S_\emptyset$ and $1 \le i \le n$, let $U_i(w)$ be the word obtained from w by replacing the first occurrence of $S_\emptyset$ by $g(u_i)$. Then $w \Rightarrow_{G'} U_i(w)$ and $\Psi(\pi_{C'\cup P'}(U_i(w))) = \Psi(\pi_{C'\cup P'}(w)) + c_i$. Thus, with

$$u = U_n^{\nu(c_n)} \cdots U_1^{\nu(c_1)}(v''_n),$$

we have $v''_n \Rightarrow^*_{G'} u \Rightarrow^*_{G'} h(u)$ and hence $h(u) \in \mathsf{L}(G')$. By construction, $h(u)$ is in M and thus $h(u) \in K'$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\pi_{C'\cup P'}(h(u))) &= \Psi(\pi_{C'\cup P'}(u)) = \Psi(\pi_{C'\cup P'}(v''_n)) + \nu \\ &= d_D + \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \nu = \mu.\end{aligned}$$

This proves $\bigcup_{c\in C'} c + P'^{\oplus}_c \subseteq \Psi(\pi_{C'\cup P'}(K'))$.

- *Boundedness.* Let $w \in (C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ and $d_D \diamond S_D\diamond \Rightarrow^*_{G'} w$. By Item 4 of our claim, we have $|w|_\diamond \le 2 + |C| \cdot \ell$.
- *Insertion property.* Let $w \in (C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ and $d_D \diamond S_D\diamond \Rightarrow^*_{G'} w$. Then $\rho(w) = \emptyset$ and $h(\pi_{N'\cup X\cup\{\diamond\}}(w)) = \pi_{X\cup\{\diamond\}}(w)$. Hence Item 5 states that for each $\mu \in P'^{\oplus}_{d_D}$, there is a $w' \in \mathsf{SF}(G)$ with $\pi_{X\cup\{\diamond\}}(w) \preceq_\diamond w'$ and $\Psi(w') = \Psi(\pi_X(w)) + \varphi'(\mu)$.

◀

Proof of Lemma 16. Let $G = (N, T, P, S)$. By Lemma 32, we may assume that there is only one production $S \to L$ in P. By Lemmas 35 and 36, one can construct PAIM for $L_0 = L \setminus (N \cup T)^* S (N \cup T)^*$ and for $L_1 = L \cap (N \cup T)^* S (N \cup T)^*$.

If G' the grammar $G' = (N, T, P', S)$, where $P' = \{S \to L_1\}$ and $\sigma\colon (N \cup T)^* \to \mathcal{P}((N \cup T)^*)$ is the substitution with $\sigma(S) = L_0$ and $\sigma(t) = \{t\}$ for $t \in T$, then $\mathsf{L}(G) = \sigma(\mathsf{SF}(G'))$. Hence, one can construct a PAIM for $\mathsf{L}(G)$ using Lemmas 15 and 38. ◀

K Proof of Lemma 17

Proof. Our algorithm works recursively with respect to the number of non-terminals. In order to make the recursion work, we need the algorithm to work with right hand sides in G_i. We show that, given $i \in \mathbb{N}$, an G_i-grammar G, along with a PAIM in G_i for each right hand side in G, we can construct a PAIM for $L(G)$ in G_i. A PAIM for a language L in F_i can easily be turned into a PAIM for L in G_i. Therefore, this statement implies the lemma.

Let $G = (N, T, P, S)$ be an G_i-grammar and $n = |N|$. For each $A \in N \setminus \{S\}$, let $G_A = (N \setminus \{S\}, T \cup \{S\}, P_A, A)$, where $P_A = \{B \to L \in P \mid B \neq S\}$. Since G_A has $n - 1$ nonterminals, we can construct a PAIM for $\mathsf{L}(G_A)$ in G_i for each $A \in N \setminus \{S\}$.

Consider the substitution $\sigma\colon (N \cup T)^* \to \mathcal{P}((N \cup T)^*)$ with $\sigma(A) = \mathsf{L}(G_A)$ for $A \in N \setminus \{S\}$ and $\sigma(x) = \{x\}$ for $x \in T \cup \{S\}$. Let $G' = (\{S\}, T, P', S)$ be the G_i-grammar with $P' = \{S \to \sigma(L) \mid S \to L \in P\}$. By Lemma 15, we can construct a PAIM in G_i for each right-hand-side of G'. Therefore, Lemma 16 provides a PAIM in G_i for $\mathsf{L}(G')$. We claim that $\mathsf{L}(G') = \mathsf{L}(G)$.

The inclusion $\mathsf{L}(G') \subseteq \mathsf{L}(G)$ is easy to see: Each $w \in \mathsf{L}(G_A)$ satisfies $A \Rightarrow_G^* w$. Hence, for $S \to L \in P$ and $w \in \sigma(L)$, we have $S \Rightarrow_G^* w$. This means $\mathsf{SF}(G') \subseteq \mathsf{SF}(G)$ and thus $\mathsf{L}(G') \subseteq \mathsf{L}(G)$.

Consider a derivation tree t for G. We show by induction on the height of t that $\mathsf{yield}(t) \in \mathsf{L}(G')$. We regard t as a partial order. A *cut* in t is a maximal antichain. We call a cut C in t *special* if it does not contain the root, every node in C has a label in $T \cup \{S\}$, and if $x \in C$ and $y \leq x$, then y is the root or has a label in $N \setminus \{S\}$.

There is a special cut in t: Start with the cut C of all leaves. If there is a node $x \in C$ and a non-root $y \leq x$ with label S, then remove all nodes $\geq y$ in C and add y instead. Repeat this process until it terminates. Then C is a special cut.

Let u be the word spelled by the cut C. Since all non-root nodes $y < x$ for some $x \in C$ have a label in $N \setminus \{S\}$, u can be derived using a production $S \to L$ once and then only productions $A \to M$ with $A \neq S$. This means, however, that $u \in \sigma(L)$ and hence $S \Rightarrow_{G'}^* u$. The subtrees below the nodes in C all have height strictly smaller than t. Moreover, since all inner nodes in C are labeled S, these subtrees are derivation trees for G. Therefore, by induction we have $u \Rightarrow_{G'}^* \mathsf{yield}(t)$ and thus $S \Rightarrow_{G'}^* \mathsf{yield}(t)$. ◀

L Proof of Lemma 18

Proof. According to Lemma 33, it suffices to show that we can construct a PAIM for $L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$. Moreover, if $L = L_1 \cup \cdots L_n$, then

$$L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S) = (L_1 \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)) \cup \cdots \cup (L_n \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)).$$

Thus, by Lemmas 32 and 34, we may assume that the PAIM for L is linear. Let $(K, c, P, \varphi, \diamond)$ be a linear PAIM for L in G_i.

The set $T = \{\mu \in P^\oplus \mid \varphi(c + \mu) \in S\}$ is semilinear as well, hence $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^n T_i$ for linear $T_i \subseteq P^\oplus$. Write $T_i = \mu_i + F_i^\oplus$ with $\mu_i \in P^\oplus$, and $F_i \subseteq P^\oplus$ being a finite set. Let P_i' be an alphabet with new symbols in bijection with the set F_i and let $\psi_i \colon P_i'^\oplus \to P^\oplus$ be the morphism extending this bijection. Moreover, let U_i be the linear set

$$U_i = \mu_i + \{p + \psi_i(p) \mid p \in P_i'\}^\oplus + (X \cup \{\diamond\})^\oplus$$

and let $R_i = p_1^* \cdots p_m^*$, where $P_i' = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$. We claim that with new symbols c_i' for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $C' = \{c_i' \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $P' = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(c_i') &= \varphi(c) + \varphi(\mu_i), \\ \varphi'(p) &= \varphi(\psi_i(p)) && \text{for } p \in P_i', \\ K' &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n c_i' \pi_{C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\}} \left(c^{-1} K R_i \cap \Psi^{-1}(U_i)\right), \end{aligned}$$

the tuple $(K', C', P', (P_i')_{c_i' \in C'}, \varphi', \diamond)$ is a PAIM for $L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$.

- *Projection property* For $w \in L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$, we find a $cv \in K$ with $\pi_X(cv) = w$. Then $\varphi(\pi_{\{c\} \cup P}(cv)) = \Psi(w) \in S$ and hence $\Psi(\pi_P(v)) \in T$. Let $\Psi(\pi_P(v)) = \mu_i + \nu$ with $\nu \in F_i^\oplus$, $P_i' = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$, and $\psi_i(\kappa) = \nu$. Then the word

  $$v' = v p_1^{\kappa(p_1)} \cdots p_m^{\kappa(p_m)}$$

  is in $c^{-1} K R_i \cap \Psi^{-1}(U_i)$ and satisfies $\pi_X(v') = \pi_X(v) = w$. Moreover, $v'' = c_i' \pi_{C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\}}(v') \in K'$ and hence $w = \pi_X(v'') \in \pi_X(K')$. This proves $L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S) \subseteq \pi_X(K')$.

We clearly have $\pi_X(K') \subseteq \pi_X(K) = L$. Thus, it suffices to show $\Psi(\pi_X(K')) \subseteq S$. Let $w = c_i' v \in K'$. Then $v = \pi_{C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\}}(v')$ for some $v' \in c^{-1} K R_i \cap \Psi^{-1}(U_i)$. Let $P_i' = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$ and write $v' = v'' p_1^{\kappa(p_1)} \cdots p_m^{\kappa(p_m)}$ for $\kappa \in P_i'^{\oplus}$. This means $cv'' \in K$ and thus $\Psi(\pi_X(cv'')) = \varphi(\pi_{\{c\} \cup P}(cv''))$ by the counting property of K. Since $v' \in \Psi^{-1}(U_i)$, we have $\Psi(\pi_P(cv'')) = \Psi(\pi_P(v')) = \mu_i + \psi_i(\kappa) \in T_i$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\pi_X(w)) &= \Psi(\pi_X(c_i' v)) = \Psi(\pi_X(v')) = \Psi(\pi_X(cv'')) \\ &= \varphi(\pi_{\{c\} \cup P}(cv'')) \in \varphi(c + T_i) \in S.\end{aligned}$$

- *Counting property* Let $w = c_i' v \in K'$ with $v = \pi_{C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\}}(v')$ for some $v' \in c^{-1} K R_i \cap \Psi^{-1}(U_i)$. By definition of U_i, this implies

  $$\pi_P(v') = \mu_i + \psi_i(\pi_{P'}(v'))$$

  and hence

  $$\varphi(\pi_P(v')) = \varphi(\mu_i) + \varphi(\psi_i(\pi_{P'}(v'))) = \varphi(\mu_i) + \varphi'(\pi_{P'}(v')).$$

  Moreover, if we write $v' = v''r$ with $cv'' \in K$ and $r \in R_i$, then

  $$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(w)) &= \varphi'(c_i') + \varphi'(\pi_{P'}(v')) \\ &= \varphi(c) + \varphi(\mu_i) + \varphi'(\pi_{P'}(v')) \\ &= \varphi(c) + \varphi(\pi_P(v')) = \varphi(\pi_{C \cup P}(cv'')) \\ &= \Psi(\pi_X(cv'')) = \Psi(\pi_X(w)).\end{aligned}$$

  This proves the counting property.
- *Commutative projection property.* Let $\mu \in c_i' + P_i'^{\oplus}$, $\mu = c_i' + \kappa$ with $\kappa \in P_i'^{\oplus}$. Let $P_i' = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$. Then $\nu = \psi_i(\kappa) \in P^{\oplus}$ and the commutative projection property of K yields a $cv \in K$ with $\Psi(\pi_{C \cup P}(cv)) = c + \mu_i + \nu$. This means that the word

  $$v' = v p_1^{\kappa(p_1)} \cdots p_m^{\kappa(p_m)}$$

  is in $c^{-1} K R_i \cap \Psi(U_i)$. Furthermore, $\Psi(\pi_{P'}(v')) = \kappa$ and hence

  $$\Psi(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(c_i' \pi_{C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\}}(v'))) = c_i' + \kappa = \mu.$$

  This proves $\bigcup_{i=1}^n c_i' + P_i'^{\oplus} \subseteq \Psi(\pi_{C' \cup P'}(K'))$. The other inclusion follows directly from the definition of K'.
- *Boundedness* Since $\pi_{\{\diamond\}}(K') \subseteq \pi_{\{\diamond\}}(K)$, K' inherits boundedness from K.
- *Insertion property* Let $c_i' w \in K'$ and $\mu \in P_i'^{\oplus}$. Write $w = \pi_{C' \cup X \cup P' \cup \{\diamond\}}(v)$ for some $v \in c^{-1} K R_i \cap \Psi^{-1}(U_i)$, and $v = v'r$ for some $r \in R_i$. Then $cv' \in K$ and applying the insertion property of K to cv' and $\psi_i(\mu) \in P^{\oplus}$ yields a $v'' \in L$ with $\pi_{X \cup \{\diamond\}}(cv') \preceq_\diamond v''$ and $\Psi(v'') = \Psi(\pi_X(cv')) + \varphi(\psi_i(\mu))$. This word satisfies

  $$\begin{aligned}\pi_{X \cup \{\diamond\}}(c_i' w) = \pi_{X \cup \{\diamond\}}(v) = \pi_{X \cup \{\diamond\}}(cv') &\preceq_\diamond v'', \\ \Psi(\pi_X(v'')) = \Psi(\pi_X(cv')) &+ \varphi(\psi_i(\mu)) \\ = \Psi(\pi_X(c_i' w)) + \varphi(\psi_i(\mu)) &= \Psi(\pi_X(c_i' w)) + \varphi'(\mu).\end{aligned}$$

  and it remains to be shown that $v'' \in L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$. Since $v'' \in L$, this amounts to showing $\Psi(v'') \in S$.

Since $\Psi(v') \in U_i$, we have $\Psi(\pi_P(v')) \in \mu_i + F_i^{\oplus}$ and $\psi_i(\mu) \in F_i^{\oplus}$ and hence also $\Psi(\pi_P(v')) + \psi_i(\mu) \in \mu_i + F_i^{\oplus} = T_i$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(v'') &= \Psi(\pi_X(cv')) + \varphi(\psi_i(\mu)) \\ &= \varphi(\pi_{C\cup P}(cv')) + \varphi(\psi_i(\mu)) \\ &= \varphi(\pi_{C\cup P}(cv') + \psi_i(\mu)) \in \varphi(c + T_i) \subseteq S.\end{aligned}$$

◀

M Proof of Lemma 19

First, we need a simple auxiliary lemma. For $\alpha, \beta \in X^{\oplus}$, we write $\alpha \leq \beta$ if $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$ for all $x \in X$. For a set $S \subseteq X^{\oplus}$, we write $S{\downarrow} = \{\mu \in X^{\oplus} \mid \exists \nu \in S\colon \mu \leq \nu\}$ and $S{\uparrow} = \{\mu \in X^{\oplus} \mid \exists \nu \in S\colon \nu \leq \mu\}$. The set S is called *upward closed* if $S{\uparrow} = S$.

▶ **Lemma 39.** *For a given semilinear set $S \subseteq X^{\oplus}$, the set $\Psi^{-1}(S{\downarrow})$ is an effectively computable regular language.*

Proof. The set $S' = X^{\oplus} \setminus (S{\downarrow})$ is Presburger-definable in terms of S and hence effectively semilinear. Moreover, since $\leq$ is a well-quasi-ordering on $X^{\oplus}$, S' has a finite set F of minimal elements. Again F is Presburger-definable in terms of S' and hence computable. Since S' is upward closed, we have $S' = F{\uparrow}$. Clearly, given $\mu \in X^{\oplus}$, the language $R_\mu = \{w \in X^* \mid \mu \leq \Psi(w)\}$ is an effectively computable regular language. Since $w \in \Psi^{-1}(S{\downarrow})$ if and only if $w \notin \Psi^{-1}(F{\uparrow})$, we have $X^* \setminus \Psi^{-1}(S{\downarrow}) = \bigcup_{\mu\in F} R_\mu$. Thus, we can compute a finite automaton for the complement, $\Psi^{-1}(S{\downarrow})$. ◀

Proof of Lemma 19. We use Theorem 10 to construct a PAIM $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ for L in G_i.

For each $c \in C$, we construct the semilinear sets $S_c = \{\mu \in P_c^{\oplus} \mid \varphi(c + \mu) \in S\}$. By Lemma 39, we can effectively construct a finite automaton for the language

$$R = \bigcup_{c\in C} c\left(\Psi^{-1}(S_c{\downarrow}) \sqcup (X \cup \{\diamond\})^*\right).$$

We claim that $L' = \pi_X(K \cap R)$ is in G_i and satisfies $L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S) \subseteq L' \subseteq (L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)){\downarrow}$. The latter clearly implies $L'{\downarrow} = (L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)){\downarrow}$. Since $K \in \mathsf{G}_i$ and G_i is an effective full semi-AFL, we clearly have $L' \in \mathsf{G}_i$.

We begin with the inclusion $L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S) \subseteq L'$. Let $w \in L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$. Then there is a word $cv \in K$, $c \in C$ with $\pi_X(v) = w$. Since $\Psi(w) \in S$, we have $\varphi(\Psi(\pi_{C\cup P}(cv))) = \Psi(\pi_X(v)) = \Psi(w) \in S$ and hence $\Psi(\pi_P(v)) \in S_c \subseteq S_c{\downarrow}$. In particular, $cv \in R$ and thus $w = \pi_X(cv) \in L'$. This proves $L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S) \subseteq L'$.

In order to show $L' \subseteq (L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)){\downarrow}$, suppose $w \in L'$. Then there is a $cv \in K \cap R$ with $w = \pi_X(cv)$. The fact that $cv \in R$ means that $\Psi(\pi_{P_c}(v)) \in S_c{\downarrow}$ and hence there is a $\nu \in P_c^{\oplus}$ with $\Psi(\pi_{P_c}(v)) + \nu \in S_c$. This means in particular

$$\Psi(\pi_X(cv)) + \varphi(\nu) = \varphi(\pi_{C\cup P}(cv)) + \varphi(\nu) \in S. \tag{21}$$

The insertion property of $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ allows us to find a word $v' \in L$ such that

$$\Psi(v') = \Psi(\pi_X(cv)) + \varphi(\nu), \qquad \pi_{X\cup\{\diamond\}}(cv) \preceq_\diamond v'. \tag{22}$$

Together with Eq. (21), the first part of Eq. (22) implies that $\Psi(v') \in S$. The second part of Eq. (22) means in particular that $w = \pi_X(cv) \preceq v' \in L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$ and hence $w \in (L \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)){\downarrow}$. ◀

N Proof of Theorem 10

▶ **Lemma 40** (Finite languages). *Given L in F_0, one can construct a PAIM for L in F_0.*

Proof. Let $L = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \subseteq X^*$ and define $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ and $P = P_c = \emptyset$, where the c_i are new symbols. Let $\varphi\colon (C \cup P)^\oplus \to X^\oplus$ be the morphism with $\varphi(c_i) = \Psi(w_i)$. It is easily verified that with $K = \{c_1 w_1, \dots, c_n w_n\}$, the tuple $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ is a PAIM for L in F_0. ◀

Proof of Theorem 10. We compute the PAIM for L recursively:

- If $L \in \mathsf{F}_0$, we can construct a PAIM for L in F_0 using Lemma 40.
- If $L \in \mathsf{F}_i$ and $i \geq 1$, then $L = h(L' \cap \Psi^{-1}(S))$ for some $L' \subseteq X^*$ in G_{i-1}, a semilinear $S \subseteq X^\oplus$, and a morphism $h\colon X^* \to Y^*$. We compute a PAIM for L' in G_{i-1} and then use Lemma 18 to construct a PAIM for L.
- If $L \in \mathsf{G}_i$, then $L = \mathsf{L}(G)$ for an F_i-grammar G. We construct PAIM for the right-hand-sides of G and then using Lemma 17, we construct a PAIM for L in G_i.

◀

O Proof of Proposition 21

Proof of Proposition 21. We write $Y = X \cup \{\#\}$. Suppose $(L\#)^* \in \mathsf{SLI}(\mathcal{C})$. Then $(L\#)^* = h(L' \cap \Psi^{-1}(S))$ for some $L' \subseteq Z^*$, a semilinear $S \subseteq Z^\oplus$, and a morphism $h\colon Z^* \to Y^*$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ has PAIMs, there is a PAIM $(K, C, P, (P_c)_{c\in C}, \varphi, \diamond)$ for L' in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $S_c = \{\mu \in P_c^\oplus \mid \varphi(c + \mu) \in S\}$. Moreover, let g be the morphism with

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\colon (C \cup Z \cup P \cup \{\diamond\})^* &\longrightarrow (Y \cup \{\diamond\})^* \\
z &\longmapsto h(z) && \text{for } z \in Z, \\
x &\longmapsto \varepsilon && \text{for } x \in C \cup P, \\
\diamond &\longmapsto \diamond.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we need the rational transduction $T \subseteq X^* \times (Y \cup \{\diamond\})^*$ with

$$T(M) = \{s \in X^* \mid r\#s\#t \in M \text{ for some } r, t \in (Y \cup \{\diamond\})^*\}.$$

We claim that

$$L = T(\hat{L}), \qquad \text{where} \qquad \hat{L} = \{g(cw) \mid c \in C,\ cw \in K,\ \pi_P(w) \in \Psi^{-1}(S_c{\downarrow})\}.$$

According to Lemma 39, the language $\Psi^{-1}(S_c{\downarrow})$ is regular, meaning $\hat{L} \in \mathcal{C}$ and hence $T(\hat{L}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus, proving $L = T(\hat{L})$ establishes the proposition.

We begin with the inclusion $T(\hat{L}) \subseteq L$. Let $s \in T(\hat{L})$ and hence $r\#s\#t = g(cw)$ for $r, t \in (Y \cup \{\diamond\})^*$, $c \in C$, $cw \in K$ and $\pi_P(w) \in \Psi^{-1}(S_c{\downarrow})$. The latter means there is a $\mu \in P_c^\oplus$ such that $\Psi(\pi_P(w)) + \mu \in S_c$ and hence

$$\Psi(\pi_Z(cw)) + \varphi(\mu) = \varphi(c + \Psi(\pi_P(w)) + \mu) \in S.$$

By the insertion property of K, there is a $v \in L'$ with $\pi_{Z\cup\{\diamond\}}(cw) \preceq_\diamond v$ and $\Psi(v) = \Psi(\pi_Z(cw)) + \varphi(\mu)$. This means $\Psi(v) \in S$ and thus $v \in L' \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$ and hence $g(v) = h(v) \in (L\#)^*$. Since $g(\diamond) = \diamond$, the relation $\pi_{Z\cup\{\diamond\}}(cw) \preceq_\diamond v$ implies

$$r\#s\#t = g(cw) = g(\pi_{Z\cup\{\diamond\}}(cw)) \preceq_\diamond g(v) \in (L\#)^*.$$

However, $\diamond$ does not occur in s, meaning $\#s\# \in \#X^*\#$ is a factor of $g(v) \in (L\#)^*$ and hence $s \in L$. This proves $T(\hat{L}) \subseteq L$.

In order to show $L \subseteq T(\hat{L})$, suppose $s \in L$. The boundedness property of K means there is a bound $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $|w|_\diamond \leq k$ for every $w \in K$. Consider the word $v = (s\#)^{k+2}$. Since $v \in (L\#)^*$, we find a $v' \in L' \cap \Psi^{-1}(S)$ with $v = h(v')$. This, in turn, means there is a $cw \in K$ with $c \in C$ and $\pi_Z(cw) = v'$. Then

$$\varphi(c + \Psi(\pi_P(w))) = \varphi(\pi_{C\cup P}(cw)) = \Psi(\pi_Z(cw)) = \Psi(v') \in S$$

and hence $\Psi(\pi_P(w)) \in S_c \subseteq S_c{\downarrow}$. Therefore, $g(cw) \in \hat{L} \subseteq (Y \cup \{\diamond\})^*$. Note that g agrees with $h(\pi_Z(\cdot))$ on all symbols but $\diamond$, which is fixed by the former and erased by the latter. Since $h(\pi_Z(cw)) = h(v') = v = (s\#)^{k+2}$, the word $g(cw)$ is obtained from $(s\#)^{k+1}$ by inserting occurrences of $\diamond$. In fact, it is obtained by inserting at most k of them since $|g(cw)|_\diamond = |cw|_\diamond \leq k$. This means $g(cw)$ has at least one factor $\#s\# \in \#X^*\#$ and hence $s \in T(g(cw)) \subseteq T(\hat{L})$. This completes the proof of $L = T(\hat{L})$ and thus of the proposition. ◀

P Proof of Lemma 23

Proof. Suppose $G = (N, T, P, S)$ is k-bursting. Let $\sigma\colon (N\cup T)^* \to \mathcal{P}(T^*)$ be the substitution with $\sigma(x) = \{w \in T^{\leq k} \mid x \Rightarrow_G^* w\}$ for $x \in N \cup T$. Since $\sigma(x)$ is finite for each $x \in N \cup T$, there is clearly a locally finite rational transduction T with $T(M) = \sigma(M)$ for every language $M \subseteq (N\cup T)^*$. In particular, $\sigma(M) \in \mathcal{C}$ whenever $M \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $R \subseteq N$ be the set of reachable nonterminals. We claim that

$$\mathsf{L}(G) \cap T^{>k} = \bigcup_{A\in R} \bigcup_{A\to L\in P} \sigma(L) \cap T^{>k}. \tag{23}$$

This clearly implies $\mathsf{L}(G) \cap T^{>k} \in \mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{C}$ is a union closed full semi-trio and thus closed under adding finite sets of words, it even implies $\mathsf{L}(G) \in \mathcal{C}$ and hence the lemma.

We start with the inclusion "$\subseteq$". Suppose $w \in \mathsf{L}(G) \cap T^{>k}$ and let t be a derivation tree for G with $\mathsf{yield}(t) = w$. Since $|w| > k$, t clearly has at least one node x with $|\mathsf{yield}(x)| > k$. Let y be maximal among these nodes (i.e. such that no descendent of y has a yield of length $> k$). Since G is k-bursting, this means $\mathsf{yield}(y) = w$. Furthermore, each child c of y has $|\mathsf{yield}(c)| \leq k$. Thus, if A is the label of y, then A is reachable and there is a production $A \to L$ with $w \in \sigma(L)$. Hence, w is contained in the right-hand side of (23).

In order to show "$\supseteq$" of (23), suppose $w \in \sigma(L) \cap T^{>k}$ for some $A \to L \in P$ and a reachable $A \in N$. By the definition of σ, we have $A \Rightarrow_G^* w$. Since A is reachable, there is a derivation tree t for G with an A-labeled node x such that $\mathsf{yield}(x) = w$. Since G is k-bursting and $|w| > k$, this implies $w = \mathsf{yield}(x) = \mathsf{yield}(t) \in \mathsf{L}(G)$ and thus $w \in \mathsf{L}(G) \cap T^{>k}$. ◀

Q Proof of Proposition 24

Proof of Proposition 24. Let $K = L \sqcup \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$. If $K \in \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$, then also $M = K \cap a^*(bX)^*c^* \in \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$. Hence, let $M = \mathsf{L}(G)$ for a reduced $\mathcal{C}$-grammar $G = (N, T, P, S)$. This means $T = X \cup \{a, b, c\}$. Let $\alpha, \beta\colon T^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the morphisms with

$$\alpha(w) = |w|_a - |w|_b, \qquad \beta(w) = |w|_b - |w|_c.$$

Then $\alpha(w) = \beta(w) = 0$ for each $w \in M \subseteq K$. Thus, Lemma 11 provides G-compatible extensions $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}\colon (N \cup T)^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ of α and β, respectively.

Let $k = \max\{|\hat{\alpha}(A)|, |\hat{\beta}(A)| \mid A \in N\}+1$ and consider the $\mathcal{C}$-grammar $G' = (N, X, P', S)$, where $P' = \{A \to \pi_{N\cup X}(L) \mid A \to L \in P\}$. Then clearly $\mathsf{L}(G') = \pi_X(M) = L$. We claim that G' is k-bursting. By Lemma 23, this implies $L = \mathsf{L}(G') \in \mathcal{C}$ and hence the proposition.

Let t be a derivation tree for G' and x a node in t with $|\mathsf{yield}(x)| > k$. Then by definition of G', then there is a derivation tree $\bar{t}$ for G such that t is obtained from $\bar{t}$ by deleting or replacing by an ε-leaf each $\{a, b, c\}$-labeled leaf. Since x has to be an inner node, it has a corresponding node $\bar{x}$ in $\bar{t}$. Since G generates M, we have

$$\mathsf{yield}(\bar{t}) = a^n b x_1 b x_2 \cdots b x_n c^n$$

for some $n \geq 0$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$, $x_1 \cdots x_n \in L$. Moreover, $\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x})$ is a factor of $\mathsf{yield}(\bar{t})$ and $\pi_X(\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x})) = \mathsf{yield}(x)$. This means $|\pi_X(\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x}))| > k$ and since in $\mathsf{yield}(\bar{t})$, between any two consecutive X-symbols, there is a b, this implies $|\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x})|_b > k - 1$. Let A be the label of x and $\bar{x}$. By the choice of k, we have $|\hat{\alpha}(\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x}))| = |\hat{\alpha}(A)| \leq k - 1$ and $|\hat{\beta}(\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x}))| = |\hat{\beta}(A)| \leq k - 1$. Hence, $|\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x})|_b > k - 1$ implies $|\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x})|_a \geq 1$ and $|\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x})|_c \geq 1$. However, a factor of $\mathsf{yield}(\bar{t})$ that contains an a and a c has to comprise all of $bx_1 \cdots bx_n$. Hence

$$\mathsf{yield}(x) = \pi_X(\mathsf{yield}(\bar{x})) = x_1 \cdots x_n = \pi_X(\mathsf{yield}(\bar{t})) = \mathsf{yield}(t).$$

This proves that G' is k-bursting. ◀

R Proof of Theorem 25

Proof of Theorem 25. First, note that if $V_i \in \mathsf{G}_i \setminus \mathsf{F}_i$, then $U_{i+1} \in \mathsf{F}_{i+1} \setminus \mathsf{G}_i$: By construction of U_{i+1}, the fact that $V_i \in \mathsf{G}_i$ implies $U_{i+1} \in \mathsf{SLI}(\mathsf{G}_i) = \mathsf{F}_{i+1}$. By Proposition 5, F_i is a union closed full semi-trio. Thus, if we had $U_{i+1} \in \mathsf{G}_i = \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_i)$, then Proposition 24 would imply $V_i \in \mathsf{F}_i$, which is not the case.

Second, observe that $U_{i+1} \in \mathsf{F}_{i+1} \setminus \mathsf{G}_i$ implies $V_{i+1} \in \mathsf{G}_{i+1} \setminus \mathsf{F}_{i+1}$: By construction of V_{i+1}, the fact that $U_{i+1} \in \mathsf{F}_{i+1}$ implies $V_{i+1} \in \mathsf{Alg}(\mathsf{F}_{i+1}) = \mathsf{G}_{i+1}$. By Proposition 5, G_i is a full semi-AFL and by Theorem 10, every language in G_i has a PAIM in G_i. Hence, if we had $V_{i+1} \in \mathsf{F}_{i+1} = \mathsf{SLI}(\mathsf{G}_i)$, then Proposition 21 would imply $U_{i+1} \in \mathsf{G}_i$, which is not the case.

Hence, it remains to be shown that $V_0 \in \mathsf{G}_0 \setminus \mathsf{F}_0$. That, however, is clear because $V_0 = \#_0^*$, which is context-free and infinite. ◀